



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

(283)

CRM-M-59370-2025

DATE OF DECISION: 11.02.2026

Shalika Wadhwa @ Jass Bajwa

.....Petitioner

VERSUS

Union Territory, Chandigarh and others

.....Respondents

CORAM HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUBHAS MEHLA

Present Mr.Rohit Khullar, Advocate, for the petitioner.
Mr. Manish Bansal, PP, UT, Chandigarh
with Mr. Dixit Bhardwaj, Advocate,
for respondent – UT, Chandigarh.
Mr. Suneet Kumar, Advocate,
for respondents no.2 to 10.

SUBHAS MEHLA, J (ORAL)

1. Prayer in this petition is for quashing of FIR No.85 dated 15.04.2024, under Sections 420, 467, 468, 471, 120-B and 201 IPC and Section 24 of Immigration Act, registered at Police Station Central, Sector 17, Chandigarh, and all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise dated 18.11.2024 (Annexure P-2 to P-10) arrived at between the parties.

2. The above stated FIR was registered on the statement of the complainant/respondent No.2-Aniket.

3. On behalf of respondent no.1/State, Mr. Manish Bansal, PP, UT, Chandigarh, appeared and submitted that parties have compromised the matter in one case and there are nine total complaints.

4. On this, learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that all the matters are in process to be settled and in some of the matters quashing petitions have been filed.



CRM-M-59370-2025

2

5. Mr. Suneet Kumar, Advocate, appeared on behalf of respondents no.2 to 10 and pleaded that the matter has been settled and settled amount has been received by the complainant/respondent no.2 and they have no objection if the FIR in this case is quashed on the basis of the aforesaid compromise, which has been effected between the parties.

6. During the course of preliminary hearing, the trial Court/Illaq Magistrate was directed to record the statements of the all the concerned parties with regard to genuineness and validity or otherwise of the aforesaid compromise.

7. In compliance thereof, report from the Court of learned Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Chandigarh along with statements of the parties has been received, in which, it is mentioned that the compromise is genuine and there was no undue influence or coercion from any side.

8. This Court has heard learned counsel for the parties.

9. Learned counsel for the petitioner and for respondents No.2 to 10 are *ad idem* that in view of the settlement effected between the parties, the present petition deserves to be accepted. It has also come on record that the aforesaid compromise is genuine and the parties effected the same without any undue influence or coercion.

10. In view of above, nothing remains to be adjudicated further in the present case. Thus, continuation of the criminal proceedings between the parties would be a futile exercise and sheer wastage of time of the Court and thus, amounts to abuse of process of law.

11. For the reasons afore-stated and having regard to the law laid down by Hon'ble Apex Court in ***Gian Singh v. State of Punjab and***



CRM-M-59370-2025 3

another, 2012 (4) RCR (Criminal) 543 and Five Judges Bench of this Court in *Kulwinder Singh and others v. State of Punjab and another, 2007(3) RCR (Criminal) 1052*, this petition is allowed and FIR No.85 dated 15.04.2024, under Sections 420, 467, 468, 471, 120-B and 201 IPC and Section 24 of Immigration Act, registered at Police Station Central, Sector 17, Chandigarh, and all the subsequent proceedings are hereby quashed qua the petitioner, subject to payment of Rs.30,000/- as costs, to be deposited with Indian Red Cross Society having account No.3578152035, Central Bank of India, Sector 17-B, Chandigarh, IFSC CBIN0280412.

12. Receipt regarding deposit of aforesaid cost be produced before the Court concerned. It is made clear that if cost is not deposited within a period of one month i.e. upto 11.03.2026 present petition shall be deemed to be dismissed.

11.02.2026
mamta

(SUBHAS MEHLA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No