



CRWP-11208-2025 (O&M) 1

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Sr.No.109

**CRWP-11208-2025 (O&M)
Decided on : 16.04.2026**

Ajit

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE RUPINDERJIT CHAHAL

Present : Mr. Anurag Arora, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Gagandeep Singh Chhina, Senior DAG, Haryana

RUPINDERJIT CHAHAL, J (ORAL)

1. The present petition has been filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India seeking directions to the official respondent(s) to protect the life and liberty of the petitioner from respondents No.5 to 7. It is stated in the petition that the petitioner has already made representation dated 26.09.2025 (Annexure P-7) before the Commissioner of Police, Gurugram raising the said grievances, but no action has been taken so far.

2. Learned State counsel while relying upon the status report, which has already been filed, submits that after conducting the threat assessment to the petitioner, it has been found that there is no threat perception. He further submits that during investigation, the petitioner's father apprised the investigating agency that the petitioner is addicted to

intoxicating substances and has been away from home since 04.08.2025 and



further submits that there is no threat perception to him or his family. Reliance in this regard is placed upon Annexures R-1 and R-2. He prays that the instant petition be dismissed.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner has rebutted the aforesaid argument of the learned State counsel and placed reliance upon the affidavit of the father of the petitioner (Annexure P-9) and submits that the police had recorded his statement (Annexure R-2) under pressure, coercion and threat and he was forced to make the aforesaid statement. At this juncture, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he would be satisfied if action is taken on the representation (Annexure P-7) only qua threat perception.

4. In view of the above, without commenting anything on the merits of the case, the present petition is disposed of at this stage with a direction to respondent No.3-The Commissioner of Police, Gurugram to consider and decide the aforesaid representation dated 26.09.2025 (Annexure P-7) of the petitioner qua threat perception only within a period of 30 days from today, by passing a speaking order in accordance with law.

16.04.2026
mamta

(RUPINDERJIT CHAHAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No