



CRM-M-59367-2025

-1-

(112)

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-59367-2025

Date of Decision: 13.03.2026

DAYA LAL**... Petitioner****Versus****STATE OF HARYANA****...Respondent****CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASJIT SINGH BEDI**

Present: Mr. Arjun Dhingra, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Geeta Rani, AAG, Haryana.

JASJIT SINGH BEDI, J.

The prayer in the present petition under Section 483 BNSS, 2023 is for the grant of regular bail in case bearing FIR No.241 dated 16.08.2025 registered under Sections 13(1), 3 of HGS and GS Act, 271, 325, 238 and 61(2) of BNS at Police Station Sector-9, District Gurugram.

2. The learned counsel for the petitioner contends that as per the prosecution case, Manohar Lal was arrested at the spot. He disclosed the name of the present petitioner. Other than the said disclosure statement, there is no evidence inculcating the petitioner. As the petitioner is in custody since 16.08.2025 but none of the 07 prosecution witnesses has been examined so far, the trial of the present case is not likely to be concluded anytime soon and therefore, he is entitled to the concession of bail.

3. On the other hand, the learned State counsel, while referring to the reply dated 13.01.2026, contends that as per the disclosure statement of Manohar Lal, he was acting at the behest of Daya Lal (petitioner). Therefore,

**CRM-M-59367-2025****-2-**

the petitioner is not entitled to the concession of bail. She, however concedes that the petitioner is in custody since 16.08.2025, but none of the 07 prosecution witnesses has been examined so far.

4. I have heard the learned counsel for the parties.

5. The veracity of the prosecution case against the petitioner and his co-accused shall be adjudicated upon during the course of the Trial. Admittedly, the petitioner is in custody since 16.08.2025 but none of the 07 prosecution witnesses has been examined so far. Therefore, the Trial of the present case is not likely to be concluded anytime soon. In this situation the further incarceration of the petitioner is not required.

6. Thus without commenting on the merits of the case, the present petition is allowed and petitioner-Daya Lal S/o Chanduram is ordered to be released on bail subject to his furnishing bail bonds and surety bonds to the satisfaction of learned CJM/Duty Magistrate, concerned.

8. The petition stands disposed of.

13.03.2026

Kusum

**(JASJIT SINGH BEDI)
JUDGE****Whether speaking/reasoned:- Yes/No
Whether reportable:- Yes/No**