

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

104

2026.PHHC.035329



CRM-M-58192-2025 (O&M).
Date of decision: 09.03.2026.

KAMAL @ KAMALJIT KAUR

...Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB

...Respondent(s)

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ

Present :- Mr. Simranjeet Singh Sarwara, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Mohit Kapoor, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

VINOD S. BHARDWAJ, J. (Oral)

This is the first petition filed under Section 482 of the Bharatiya
Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, for grant of pre-arrest bail to the petitioner
in case bearing FIR No.132 dated 24.08.2025, under Section(s) 331(6),
115(2), 351(2) and 3(2) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023, registered at
Police Station Anaj Mandi, District Patiala, Punjab.

2 Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner(s) contends
that the aforesaid FIR has been registered on the complaint submitted by

Barinder Kaur wife of Pritpal Singh, (aged about 54 years) against Gurmeet Singh, wife of Gurmeet Singh i.e. Kamal (petitioner herein), Simar (their daughter) and cousin on the allegation that, on 23.08.2025 at about 9:00 P.M., she along with her family members had returned from Gurudwara Dukhniwaran Sahib, Patiala. When they entered their house, aforesaid persons are alleged to have given brick bat blows on the small gate of their house. When they reached near the gate on hearing the noise, son of maternal uncle of Gurmeet Singh, started giving danda blows on the gate of the house of the complainant and also on their persons. The complainant and her husband made an attempt to stop them from doing so, but the accused entered the house of the complainant and started giving beating to the complainant and her family members. Kamal (petitioner herein) is alleged to have given danda (wooden baton) blows on the head and legs of the complainant and also on the person of her husband.

3 Counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner has been falsely and maliciously implicated in the incident on account of a personal enmity arising out of a trivial dispute. The genesis of the alleged occurrence was a minor argument regarding parking of Scooter, which was objected to by the petitioner and her family. This became a trigger event for the grudge which was already pending in relation thereto. He contends that the petitioner is a woman aged 43 years, having an unblemished record, and that she is ready and willing to join the investigation. Injuries in question were also simple in nature.

4 State counsel does not dispute the facts as noticed above. He also concedes that the arrest of the petitioner has already been stayed by a Coordinate Bench of this Court vide order dated 15.10.2025.

5 Having heard the learned counsel for the parties and taking into consideration the nature of allegations levelled against the petitioner, the

triviality of the incident, the nature of injuries and the unblemished record of the petitioner, I deem it appropriate to allow the present petition. Accordingly, the present petition is allowed. Petitioner is directed to join investigation within a period of two weeks from today and thereafter as and when required by the Investigating Agency. In the event of petitioner joining investigation, she shall be admitted to bail by the arresting officer/investigating officer on furnishing of bail bonds to the satisfaction of the arresting officer/investigating officer. The petitioner shall also abide by the conditions as specified under Section 482 of the BNSS, 2023.

6 However, in the event of petitioner not cooperating with the Investigating Officer, the State/Investigating officer shall be at liberty to move an appropriate application for seeking cancellation of the pre-arrest bail granted to the said petitioner.

7 The present petition stands allowed in above terms.

March 09, 2026.
raj arora

(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : *Yes/No*
Whether reportable : *Yes/No*