



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

221

CRM-M-58864-2025

Date of decision : 20.01.2026

JATINDER SINGH

..... PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB

..... RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURYA PARTAP SINGH

Present : Mr. Ripudaman S.Brar, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Eklavya Darshi, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab.

SURYA PARTAP SINGH. J.(Oral)

1. This petition under Section 482 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita 2023 (hereinafter referred to as 'BNSS'), has been filed for anticipatory bail in a case arising out of FIR No.13 dated 06.09.2025 under Sections 67A/67B of Information Technology Act, 2000 and Section 15(1) POCSO Act 2012, Police Station Cyber Crime, District Shri Muktsar Sahib.

2. It has been submitted by learned counsel for the petitioner that in compliance with order dated 17.10.2025, whereby the benefit of interim anticipatory bail was afforded to the petitioner, the petitioner has joined investigation and the phone in question already seized by the Investigating Officer.

3. In response to above, the learned State counsel has submitted that although the petitioner has joined investigation but his custodial interrogation is required.
4. Heard.
5. Keeping in view the gravity of offence allegedly committed by the petitioner, and that the purpose for which custodial interrogation of the petitioner is required has not been disclosed by the Investigating Officer, it is hereby held that the petitioner, who has already joined investigation, is entitled for the benefit of anticipatory bail. Thus, the order dated 17.10.2025, whereby the benefit of interim bail was accorded to the petitioner, is hereby made absolute.
6. The present petition stands disposed of accordingly.

(SURYA PARTAP SINGH)
JUDGE

20.01.2026
vipin

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes
Whether Reportable : No