



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

266

CRM-M-58503-2025

MOHIN KHAN

...PETITIONERS

V/s

STATE OF HARYANA

...RESPONDENTS

Date of decision: 02.04.2026

Date of Uploading: 02.04.2026

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUMEET GOEL

Present: Mr. Krishan Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Gurmeet Singh, AAG, Haryana.

SUMEET GOEL, J.


1. The substantive prayer made in the petition reads as follows: -

"It is therefore most respectfully prayed that the instant criminal misc. petition may kindly be allowed and the order dated 04.09.2025 passed by learned Additional Sessions Judge, Yamuna Nagar at Jagadhri [Annexure P-4] in case bearing No.NDPS/61/2021 arising out of FIR No.248 dated 19.05.2021 U/s 21B, 29 of 61/85 of Narcotic Drugs and Psychotropic Substances Act, 1985 registered at Police Station Sadar Yamuna Nagar, District Yamuna Nagar (Annexure P-1), whereby the bail bonds of the petitioner has been cancelled and forfeited to the State and warrant of arrest of the petitioner has been issued and now case is fixed for 18.12.2025.

It is further prayer to stay the operation of impugned order dated 04.09.2025 (Annexure P-4) passed by Ld. A.S.J., Yamuna Nagar at Jagadhri during the pendency of the present petition being passed in hurried manner and without appreciating the proper facts of the case and the petitioner may kindly be allowed to appear before the Ld. Trial Court and he may be allowed to remain on bail on the same bail bonds and surety bonds."

2. On 16.10.2025, the following order was passed:

"Challenge in the present petition is to the order dated 04.09.2025 passed by Additional Sessions Judge, Yamuna Nagar in case bearing No. NDPS/61/2021 arising out of FIR bearing No. 248 dated 19.05.2021 registered under Sections 21-B and 29 of the Narcotic Drugs and Psychotropic Substances Act, 1985 at Police Station Sadar Yamuna



Nagar, Yamuna Nagar, whereby the surety/bail bonds of the petitioner were cancelled and forfeited to the State and warrants of arrest have been issued against him.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner contends that the petitioner had instructed his counsel to move an appropriate application for seeking exemption from personal appearance on the said date, however, but no such application was moved by the counsel. It is further submitted that non- ppearance of the petitioner was neither intentional nor willful. He submits that the petitioner shall appear before the trial Court on 18.12.2025 i.e. the next date of hearing fixed before the trial Court.

Notice of motion.

On the asking of this Court, Dr. (Ms.) Malvika Singh, DAG Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State and prays for some time to file the reply.

List on 22.01.2026.

In the event, the petitioner surrenders before the trial Court on 18.12.2025 as undertaken today, he shall be admitted to interim bail on his furnishing of fresh bail/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court, subject to payment of Rs.5,000/- with the District Legal Services Authority, Yamuna Nagar.”

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner duly caused his appearance before the trial Court on 18.12.2025, in terms of the earlier order passed by this Court on 16.10.2025, and has been continuing to appear thereafter.
4. In view of the above, the interim order dated 16.10.2025 is hereby confirmed.

(SUMEET GOEL)
JUDGE

02.04.2026
jatin

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No