



CRM-M-58198-2025 (O&M)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

275-2

CRM-M-58198-2025 (O&M)
Date of decision: 09.02.2026

GAGANPREET KAUR

...PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ANOTHER

...RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE SHALINI SINGH NAGPAL

Present: Mr. Aditya Dassaur, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Aakash Yadav, AAG Punjab.

Ms. Monika Jangra, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

Shalini Singh Nagpal, J. (Oral)

1. Petitioner seeks quashing of FIR No.111 dated 28.06.2024 under Sections 406, 498-A IPC, Police Station Women Cell, SAS Nagar and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom, on the basis of compromise dated 27.08.2025.
2. On 30.10.2025, this Court directed the parties to appear before learned trial Court/Illaq Magistrate for recording of their statements with regard to compromise dated 27.08.2025.
3. In compliance of the aforesaid order, parties appeared before learned Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, SAS Nagar on 26.11.2025. Statement of Investigating Officer ASI Karam Singh was recorded on 08.12.2025. Learned Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, SAS Nagar has submitted his report recording satisfaction that all the parties have entered into a valid compromise without any influence or coercion. Point-wise report as under has been submitted:



CRM-M-58198-2025 (O&M)

- “1. It appears from the statement of Investigating Officer that two persons have been arraigned as accused in the present FIR namely Navjot Singh and Gaganpreet Kaur.*
 - 2. Accused Gaganpreet Kaur has appeared and got recorded their statements. It is submitted that accused Navjot Singh has preferred another quashing petition bearing CRM-M-56592-2025 in which his statement has been recorded.*
 - 3. It appears from the statement of IO that during investigation no additional accused has been added in the present FIR.*
 - 4. It appears from the statement of IO that none of the accused are an absconder/proclaimed person.*
 - 5. It appears from the statement of IO that no new offence was added or deleted during investigation of present case after registration of FIR.*
 - 6. It appears from the statement of IO that investigation is complete and no accused has been declared as innocent in the present case during investigation.*
 - 7. It appears from the statement of the Investigating Officer that name complainant/injured/aggrieved is Amanpreet Kaur.*
 - 8. It appears from the statement of the Investigating Officer that there is only one complainant/injured/aggrieved in the present case and her statement has been recorded.*
 - 9. Challan has been presented in the present case and same is fixed for prosecution evidence.*
 - 10. Compromise seems to be genuine, voluntary, and out of free will of the parties.*
 - 11. It appears from the statement of investigating officer that no other criminal case or proceedings is pending against the accused persons.”*
4. Learned State counsel and learned counsel for respondent No.2 have not raised any dispute regarding the factum of compromise. Since the matter has been amicably resolved, continuation of the criminal proceedings would be an exercise in futility. The dispute which has its genesis in a



CRM-M-58198-2025 (O&M)

matrimonial discord, warrants exercise of powers under Section 528 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita (B.N.S.S.), 2023.

5. Following principles of law laid down by the Full Bench Judgment of this Court in ***“Kulwinder Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab and another” 2007(3) RCR (Criminal) 1052*** and Hon’ble Supreme Court in ***“Gian Singh Versus State of Punjab and others” (2012) 10 SCC 303***, the petition is allowed.

6. FIR No.111 dated 28.06.2024 under Sections 406, 498-A IPC, Police Station Women Cell, SAS Nagar and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom, are quashed qua the petitioner on the basis of compromise dated 27.08.2025.

7. Pending applications, if any, stand disposed of.

(SHALINI SINGH NAGPAL)
JUDGE

09.02.2026
HS.CHAUHAN

Whether Speaking/Reasoned :	Yes/No
Whether Reportable :	Yes/No