



CRM-M-58409-2025

1

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

328

CRM-M-58409-2025

Date of decision: 24.02.2026

RAJ SINGH ALIAS RAJU AND OTHERS**.... PETITIONER(S)****VERSUS****STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS****...RESPONDENT(S)****CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASJIT SINGH BEDI**

Present: Mr. Gursharan Singh, Advocate and
Mr. L.S. Sidhu, Advocate for the petitioners.
Mr. Aditya Pal Singla, AAG, Haryana.
Mr. Ishan Thakur, Advocate for respondent Nos.2 and 3.

(THROUGH VIDEO CONFERENCING)

JASJIT SINGH BEDI, J. (Oral)

The prayer in this petition is for quashing of an FIR No.20 dated 06.04.2025 (Annexure P-1) registered under Sections 126(2), 191(3), 190, 115(2) and 24(4) of BNS, 2023 and Section 25 of Arms Act, 1959 at Police Station Jaurkian, District Mansa along with all consequential proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of a compromise arrived at between the petitioner and respondent Nos.2 and 3.

Vide order dated 16.10.2025, this Court had directed the parties to appear before Illaqa Magistrate for getting their statements recorded with regard to the compromise dated 01.10.2025 (Annexure P-9).

The Illaqa Magistrate/trial Court was to submit a report in this regard giving certain details as enumerated in the said order.

Pursuant to the order dated 16.10.2025 passed by the Co-ordinate Bench of this Court, the parties have appeared before the

learned Sub Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Sardulgarh and as per the report



CRM-M-58409-2025

2

dated 03.12.2025 submitted to this Court, both the parties have got recorded their respective statements in Court.

A perusal of the aforesaid report would show that the parties have effected a genuine compromise without there being any pressure, coercion or undue influence. In view of the compromise there is a remote possibility of the complaint coming forward to support the prosecution case. The powers under Section 482 Cr.PC can be exercised in such like situation in order to prevent unnecessary vagaries of criminal trial to be faced by the parties, when there are remote chances of conviction of the accused. The compromise in question is found to be fully in consonance with the direction issued by the Court in ***“Kulwinder Singh & Ors. Vs. State of Punjab 2007(3) RCR (Criminal) 1052 and Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab & Anr., 2012(4) RCR (Crl.) 543”***.

In view of the aforesaid report of the learned Sub Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Sardulgarh accompanied by statements of both the parties, the FIR No.20 dated 06.04.2025 (Annexure P-1) registered under Sections 126(2), 191(3), 190, 115(2) and 24(4) of BNS, 2023 and Section 25 of Arms Act, 1959 at Police Station Jaurkian, District Mansa along with all consequential proceedings arising therefrom are hereby quashed qua the petitioners.

Petition stands disposed of.

(JASJIT SINGH BEDI)
JUDGE

24.02.2026

Kusum

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No