



CRM-M-58743-2025 (O&M)

-1-

236

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-58743-2025 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 20.01.2026

Arshpreet Singh @ Harshpreet Singh

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUBHAS MEHLA

Present : Mr. Naveen Kumar, Advocate and
Mr. Nikhil Sheoran, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Sandeep Kumar, DAG, Punjab.

SUBHAS MEHLA, J. (Oral)**CRM-50403-2025**

Learned counsel for the applicant/petitioner seeks permission to
withdraw the present application.

Dismissed as withdrawn.

CRM-M-58743-2025 (O&M)

1. By way of the present petition filed under Section 483 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, the petitioner is seeking regular bail in case bearing FIR No.95 dated 20.06.2025 registered under Sections 333, 109, 126(2), 117(2), 191(3) and 190 of Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita (for short 'BNS') (challan presented under Sections 332(B), 115(2), 109, 126(2), 117(2), 191(3), 190, 61(2) and 324 of BNS) at Police Station Urban Estate, Patiala.

**CRM-M-58743-2025 (O&M)****-2-**

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner contended that the petitioner is falsely involved in this case. He further contended that the allegations against the petitioner is that his vehicle make 'Verna', color 'Silver' was used by co-accused in commission of the offence. It is further contended that the petitioner is in custody since 24.06.2025 i.e. for more than 06 months; investigation qua the present petitioner has already been completed; the trial will take sufficient time to conclude as the charges are yet to be framed in this case; the petitioner is not involved in any other criminal activity and he is having clean and clear antecedents. He further contended that the marriage of the present petitioner is also fixed for 27.01.2026. As such, learned counsel prayed for grant of regular bail to the petitioner.

3. On the other hand, learned State counsel produced the custody certificate of the petitioner, which is taken on record. Learned State counsel also filed a status report dated 19.01.2026 pertaining to the solemnization of the petitioner's marriage, which is also taken on record. Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Gurbinder Singh, further contended that the present petitioner in collusion with others caused injuries to the complainant and his vehicle was used by the co-accused. He fairly admitted that at the time of incident, the petitioner was not present at the spot and prayed for dismissal of the regular bail.

4. Heard.

5. Keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the present case and the role assigned to the present petitioner that he is a conspirator for causing injuries to the complainant and his brother, the petitioner is not present at the time of the alleged occurrence, as per the prosecution case. As per the custody certificate, the petitioner is not involved in any other case.



Investigation qua the present petitioner has already been completed; the petitioner has clean and clear antecedents; challan has been filed; trial will take sufficient time to conclude as the charges are yet to be framed in this case and no fruitful purpose would be served by keeping the petitioner in custody for any further period, as concession of bail cannot be denied just as a measure of punishment as culpability of accused is to be decided after appreciating evidence adduced by both parties and it is a trite principle of criminal jurisprudence that bail is rule, jail is an exception, this Court deems it a fit case to grant the concession of regular bail to the petitioner.

6. Therefore, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the instant petition is allowed. The petitioner is ordered to be released on regular bail on his furnishing requisite bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate/Chief Judicial Magistrate concerned.

20.01.2026
Himani

(SUBHAS MEHLA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No