

2026:PHHC:009153



202

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-57901-2025

Pawan and others

....Petitioners

versus

State of Haryana and another

....Respondents

Date of Decision: January 22, 2026

Date of Uploading: January 22, 2026

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUMEET GOEL

Present: Mr. Akash Vashisth, Advocate and
Mr. Akinchan Aggarwal, Advocate for the petitioners.

Ms. Priyanka Sadar Thakur, Senior DAG Haryana.

Mr. Vikram Sheoran, Advocate,
Ms. Alka Sheoran, Advocate and
Ms. Sandeep Kaur, Advocate for the complainant.

SUMEET GOEL, J. (ORAL)

Present petition has been filed under Section 482 of the
Bhartiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (for short 'BNSS') for grant of
concession of anticipatory bail to the petitioners, in case FIR No.327 dated
10.06.024, under Sections 147, 148, 323 and 506 of the IPC, 1860 (Sections
149 and 302 of IPC added later on), registered at Police Station Sadar
Bhiwani, District Bhiwani.

2. On 15.10.2025, the following order was passed:

*“Counsel for the petitioners, inter alia, contends that the petitioners
have been summoned by virtue of power under Section 358 of BNSS 2023
(earlier Section 319 Cr.P.C.). The next date of hearing before the trial
Court is 12.11.2025.*

*The petitioners are willing to appear before the trial Court and face
proceedings in accordance with law.*

Notice of motion.

On the strength of advance copy; Mr. Tarun Aggarwal, Addl.AG, Haryana has caused appearance. He seeks and is permitted time to file reply.

Put up on 29.10.2025.

In the meantime, in case the petitioners choose to appear before the concerned trial Court, they shall be admitted to bail subject to the satisfaction of the said Court.

To be shown in urgent list.”

3. Learned counsel for the parties are *ad idem* before this Court that the petitioners have caused appearance before the concerned trial Court, in terms of the interim order dated 15.10.2025.

4. Learned counsel for the complainant has, however, opposed the grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners by arguing that allegations against the petitioners are serious in nature, and in case, the petitioners are extended the concession of anticipatory bail, there is all likelihood that they may abscond from the process of justice and interfere in the prosecution evidence.

5. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and upon perusal of the record; especially in view of the factum of the petitioners having caused appearance before the concerned trial Court, the interim order dated 15.10.2025 passed by this Court is made absolute, subject to the conditions as enumerated under Section 482(2) of BNSS, 2023.

6. Petition stands allowed, accordingly.

7. This order should not be treated as “blanket” order. It will not be read granting petitioners indefinite protection from arrest. It shall be confined to the FIR mentioned *ibid* and will not operate in respect of any other incident that involves commission of an offence.

8. Liberty is reserved in favour of State/complainant to move for cancellation/recall of this order in case the petitioners violate any condition

stipulated under Section 482(2) of BNSS, 2023 or upon showing any other sufficient cause.

9. Needless to say that anything observed herein above shall not be construed to be an opinion on the merits of the case.
10. Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

(SUMEET GOEL)
JUDGE

January 22, 2026

mahavir

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether reportable: Yes/No