



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

221

CRWP-11042-2025

Date of Decision:-16.02.2026

BABA SHAMSHER SINGH

.....PETITIONER

VS.

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

.....RESPONDENTS

CORAM:-HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASJIT SINGH BEDI

Present: Mr. B.S. Bajwa, Advocate
for the petitioner(s).

Mr. M.S. Toor, AAG Punjab.

Mr. Ravinder Singh, Advocate and
Mr. Ish Puneet Singh, Advocate
for respondent Nos.7, 10, 11 and 14.

(THROUGH VIDEO CONFERENCING)

JASJIT SINGH BEDI, J.(ORAL)

1. The prayer in the criminal writ petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India in the nature of mandamus is for issuance of directions to respondent Nos.1 to 4 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners as he has been attacked by respondent Nos.5 to 14 leading to the FIR No.147 dated 27.09.2025 under Sections 118(1), 115(2), 191(3) and 190 registered at Police Station Makhu, District Ferozepur, but no action has been taken till date.

2. The learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the forefathers of the petitioner have been running the Gurudwara and the petitioner was made Head-Granthi on 14.08.2025. However, with a view

**CRWP-11042-2025****-2-**

to take over the property of the Gurudwara, the private respondents are repeatedly interfering with the possession of the petitioner. The petitioner and his family members were attacked by respondent Nos.5 to 14, leading to registration of FIR No.147 dated 27.09.2025 under Sections 118(1), 115(2), 191(3) and 190 registered at Police Station Makhu, District Ferozepur. In the said FIR, no action has been taken till date. The petitioner had filed a civil suit seeking injunction against the private respondents. As a response thereto, the Investigating Agency has registered a *kalendra*, presupposing that it is the petitioner side as well which is attacking the private respondents leading to apprehension of breach of peace. He therefore, prays that appropriate directions be issued to the State to provide protection to the petitioner and his family members and to take appropriate action in FIR No.147 dated 27.09.2025 under Sections 118(1), 115(2), 191(3) and 190 registered at Police Station Makhu, District Ferozepur.

3. The learned counsel for the State, on the other hand, has referred to the reply dated 05.11.2025 to contend that the allegations levelled by the petitioner are baseless. The Deputy Superintendent of Police (SD) Zira has conducted an inquiry and found that, at this stage, no action needs to be taken on the representation of the petitioner.

4. I have heard learned counsel for the parties.

5. Para 6 of the reply is as under:-

“That on the basis of the aforesaid facts, it was concluded in the report of Deputy Superintendent of Police (SD), Zira that the parties are exaggerating and



making false allegations against each other regarding the ownership of the maintenance of the Gurdwara Sahib, which has no truth in it. The petitioner Shamsher Singh, expressing danger from the other party, demanded police protection for his protection, but preventive action has already been taken against both the parties under Sections 126, 169 BNSS. Apart from this, in view of the interference of both the parties in the Gurdwara Sahib, the Kalandra under Section 164 BNSS has been presented and the same is under consideration before SDM, Zira. Apart from this, since petitioner Shamsher Singh has his own licensed weapon (rifle 30.06 bore and pistol 45 bore), there is no matter of threat to petitioner Shamsher Singh, due to which there is no need to provide any separate security to petitioner Shamsher Singh, but still, understanding the importance of the matter, monitoring is going on through police patrolling and area security, if any objectionable matter comes to notice, then necessary legal action will be taken immediately. At present, there is no need to take any action on the representation of the petitioner.”

6. A perusal of the same would reveal that the petitioner is certainly facing a threat at the hands of the private respondents. The fact an FIR was registered and a *kalendra* has been filed itself bears testimony to this fact. Merely because the petitioner has licensed weapons of his own does not mean that he is not to be provided security. Further, for reasons unknown, the accused persons who assaulted the petitioner have not been



CRWP-11042-2025 **-4-**

arrested till date which creates a significant doubt in the conduct of the police.

7. In view of the above, the present petition is disposed of with the directions to the respondent-State to provide adequate security to the petitioner, including but not limited to posting of a permanent picket outside the said Gurudwara to prevent any untoward incident.

8. All the pending miscellaneous applications, if any, stand disposed of.

16.02.2026
Kusum

(JASJIT SINGH BEDI)
JUDGE

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>