



108

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-58400-2025

NAVEEN

.....PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA

.....RESPONDENT

CRM-M-866-2026

ASHIN KHAN

.....PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA

.....RESPONDENT

DECIDED ON: 07.03.2026

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH.

Present: Mr. Vikram Singh, Advocate, for
Mr. V.S. Lakhlan, Advocate,
for the petitioner (in CRM-M-58400-2025)
Mr. R.S. Mamli, Advocate,
for the petitioner (in CRM-M-866-2026).

Mr. Kanwar Sanjiv Kumar, AAG, Haryana.

SANJAY VASHISTH, J (ORAL)

1. Petition, i.e. CRM-M-58400-2025 is filed by the petitioner – Naveen, seeking grant of regular bail, in case, FIR No.12, dated 19.05.2024, under Sections 420, 120-B, 201 of IPC, registered at Police Station Cyber Crime, District Charkhi Dadri.

Petition, i.e. CRM-M-866-2026 is filed by the petitioner – Ashin Khan, seeking grant of regular bail, in case, FIR No.12, dated

19.05.2024, under Sections 120-B, 420 of IPC, registered at Police Station Cyber Crime, District Charkhi Dadri.

2. FIR in the present case was registered on the statement of complainant-Abhishek, wherein it was alleged that he intended to obtain a personal loan, which was assured to him by the accused persons, namely Ashin and Naveen (petitioners herein), in connivance with a bank employee, Jitender. It was alleged that the accused persons obtained the complainant's signatures, photographs and certain other documents, and also took OTPs from him from time to time.

It was further alleged that petitioner – Naveen used to call the complainant and took him to HDFC Bank, Badra, on the pretext of getting the loan sanctioned. Petitioners along with bank employee Jitender also collected the complainant's Aadhaar Card, PAN Card and other documents.

3. As per the case of the prosecution, petitioners along with co-accused have cheated the public at large to the amount of Rs.15 crores, and Rs.5,37,33,943/- has been transacted from the account of the petitioner – Naveen. There is financial transaction of almost Rs.5,37,33,943/- in the bank account of petitioner – Naveen. Moreover, the passbook, cheque book and phone of the complainant were recovered from the petitioners.

Undisputedly, petitioners are facing the allegation of having defrauded the complainant of the aforesaid amount. However, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioners namely Ashin Khan and

Naveen are in custody since 15.02.2025 and 19.05.2024, respectively, and trial is likely to take considerable time to conclude. Thus, counsel jointly pray for grant of concession of regular bail to the petitioners in the present case.

4. During the course of short hearing, learned State counsel informs that even, complainant was found guilty in the present case and is behind bars.

5. Looking at the allegations levelled against the petitioners and the total defrauded amount in the present case, this Court does not deems it appropriate to entertain the plea of regular bail to the petitioners.

6. Faced with the situation, counsel for both the petitioners do not want to press the present petitions.

7. **Accordingly, present petitions stand disposed of, being not pressed at this stage.**

8. However, trial Court is directed to conclude the trial expeditiously, but not beyond the period of nine months from today.

9. A photocopy of this order be placed on the file of other connected case.

07.03.2026
Lavisha

(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned *Yes/No*
Whether reportable *Yes/No*