



**219 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-57837-2025 (O & M)

Date of decision: 12.02.2026

PARSHANT

...PETITIONER

V/S

STATE OF HARYANA

...RESPONDENT

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUBHAS MEHLA

Present: Ms. Rosi, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Surender Singh Pannu, Addl. A.G., Haryana.

SUBHAS MEHLA, J. (ORAL)

1. By way of the present petition filed under Section 483 BNSS, 2023 the petitioner is seeking regular bail in case FIR No.145 dated 11.04.2025 registered under Sections 109(1), 126, 190, 191(3) of Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 and Section 25 of Arms Act, 1959 (later added Sections 111(2), 238(b) of BNS, 2023 and Sections 25 (1-B) of Arms Act at Police Station Bhiwani City, District Bhiwani.

2. Brief facts of the prosecution are that on 11.04.2025, the police received an information that a fight has took place and shots were fired near Jeetu Wala Johar. Thereafter, injured Vinod moved a complaint before the police and stated that when he along with one Parshant was going towards Dinod Road, petitioner along with co-accused stopped and started pelting stones upon them; co-accused Sahil fired a bullet at complainant; Gaurav @ Gaura fired a bullet at Parshant (injured) with intention to kill them. Hence, the FIR was registered.



3. Learned counsel for the petitioner contended that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case; the petitioner has been nominated in the present case on the basis of disclosure statement made by co-accused, Sahil @ Kala, which is inadmissible in evidence; except the disclosure statement, there is no other evidence available on record against the petitioner; nothing has been recovered from the petitioner; co-accused, namely, Ravi has already been granted the concession of regular bail by this Court vide order dated 19.09.2025 passed in **CRM-M No.51855 of 2025** (Annexure P-3) and the petitioner is in custody since 11.05.2025. As such, learned counsel prayed for grant of regular bail to the petitioner.

4. Learned State counsel has filed additional status report by way of an affidavit of Sh. Mahesh Kumar, HPS, Deputy Superintendent of Police, (HQ), Bhiwani, Haryana, which is taken on record. Learned State counsel opposed the prayer made by the petitioner on the ground that the trial is at the stage of prosecution evidence; material witnesses i.e. complainant and injured are yet to be examined and if the petitioner is released on bail, there is apprehension that he would tamper with the prosecution evidence or influence the prosecution witnesses, and the petitioner is having criminal antecedents also. As such, learned State counsel prays for dismissal of the present petition seeking regular bail to the petitioner.

5. Heard.

6. Keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the present case and the contention of learned State counsel that the allegations against the petitioner are serious in nature; trial is at the stage of prosecution evidence; material witnesses i.e. complainant and injured are yet to be examined and



learned State counsel has submitted that there is apprehension that if the petitioner is released on bail, he would tamper with the prosecution evidence and the petitioner is having criminal antecedents also, hence, this Court finds no merit in the present petition and the same stands dismissed accordingly.

7. Nothing observed hereinabove shall be construed to be expression of an opinion by this Court on merits of the case. The learned Court below is directed to proceed with the matter on its own merits, lest it may prejudice the trial.

8. Pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, also stand(s) disposed of.

February 12, 2026
manisha

(SUBHAS MEHLA)
JUDGE

- | | | |
|------|---------------------------|--------|
| (i) | Whether speaking/reasoned | Yes/No |
| (ii) | Whether reportable | Yes/No |