

125

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**FAO-291-2025 (O&M)
Date of decision: 12.01.2026**

HARYANA SHEHRI VIKAS PRADHIKARAN

...Appellant(s)

VERSUS

M/S HARCHARAN DASS GUPTA

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASGURPREET SINGH PURI


Present:- Mr. Arvind Seth, Advocate for
Mr. Rajesh Gaur, Advocate
for the appellant.

Mr. Ajiteshwar Singh, Advocate
for the respondent.

JASGURPREET SINGH PURI, J. (Oral)

1. At the outset, learned counsel for the respondent has raised a preliminary objection that the present appeal is not maintainable because the objections under Section 34 of the Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996 have been decided by the learned Additional District Judge-cum-Presiding Judge, Exclusive Commercial Court at Gurugram exercising jurisdiction under the Commercial Courts Act, 2015 on 05.09.2024.

2. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the appellant submitted that in view of the aforesaid position, the present appeal may be returned back so that the same can be preferred before the learned Commercial Appellate Division.



3. In view of the above, the present appeal is returned to the appellant with a liberty to file the same before the learned Commercial Appellate Division, in accordance with law.

12.01.2026
Chetan Thakur

(JASGURPREET SINGH PURI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No