

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

113

CWP-30702-2025

Date of Decision : February 24, 2026

GURU TEG BAHADUR PUBLIC SCHOOL

-PETITIONER

V/S

CHANDIGARH ADMINISTRATION AND OTHERS

-RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARI

Present: Mr. Amit Jain, Sr. Advocate, with
Ms. Nikita Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Sukhmani Patwalia, Advocate, with
Mr. Dhruv Walia, Advocate
for the respondents No.1 and 2.

Mr. Kannan Malik, Advocate
for the respondents No.3 and 4.

KULDEEP TIWARI, J. (ORAL)

1. In compliance with the hereinafter extracted order dated 20.01.2026, the competent authority has passed an order dated 20.02.2026.

A copy of the order dated 20.02.2026 is taken on record as 'Mark-A'.

"1. Learned counsel for the respondents No.1 and 2 has informed this Court that, on 28.11.2025, the proceedings pending before the Assistant Estate Officer could not be taken further on account of absence of the petitioner, and hence the matter was adjourned for today.

2. Learned senior counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has no intention to delay the proceedings before the Assistant Estate Officer and assures that appearance shall be positively made today before the Assistant Estate Officer.

3. List the writ petition on 24.02.2026 in the urgent list.

4. The Assistant Estate Officer shall, before the next date of hearing

fixed before this Court, ensure that a final decision is taken in the matter, after affording due opportunity of hearing to the parties. The petitioner is also directed to ensure its appearance before the Assistant Estate Officer and to further ensure that no dilatory tactics are adopted.

5. It is made clear that, on the next date of hearing, no request for adjournment would be entertained on behalf of either side, except for strong and compelling reasons.”

2. Learned senior counsel for the petitioner submits that since the petitioner is required to challenge the order dated 20.02.2026 in the first instance, he does not wish to press the prayer contained in the instant writ petition at this stage, whilst reserving his liberty to raise the same at an appropriate stage.

3. Consequently, the **instant writ petition is dismissed as not pressed at this stage and also** with liberty to the petitioner to challenge the order dated 20.02.2026.

February 24, 2026
devinder

(KULDEEP TIWARI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	:	Yes/No