



CRM-M-56924-2025

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

124

CRM-M-56924-2025
Decided on: 23.03.2026

Suresh

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH

Present: Mr. Tushar, Advocate for
Mr. Namit Khurana, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Parveen Kumar Aggarwal, Addl. A.G. Haryana

SANJAY VASHISTH, J. (ORAL)

1. Prayer in this petition, filed under Section 482 of the BNSS, 2023 (earlier Section 438 Cr.P.C.), is for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner, who has been booked in a criminal case arising out of FIR No.328 dated 15.09.2025, under Sections 109(2) (added later on), 110 (deleted later on), 118(1), 238 r/w 3(5) of BNS, 2023, at PS Kunjpura, District Karnal.

2. On 09.10.2025, following order was passed:

“(i) *** ***)

(ii) *Learned counsel for the petitioner, inter alia, contends that though name of the petitioner is mentioned in the FIR, but without assigning any specific role. Further submits that even if the CCTV footage, as noticed by the trial Court in its order dated 23.09.2025, is taken into consideration, petitioner can only be seen present there on the spot, but again without any active participation.*

Subsequently, allegation against the petitioner comes up that he caused simply injury on non-vital part of the body with a stick. Thus, learned counsel submits that petitioner not being the main accused, is ready to join investigation



and fully cooperate with the investigating agency, if protected from arrest.

(iii) Notice of motion.

(iv) On advance notice, learned State counsel puts in appearance on behalf of the respondent – State, and seeks some time to respond to the submissions addressed by learned counsel opposite, after seeking instructions. And, in case of necessity, to file status report by verifying the submissions addressed by the petitioner’s counsel.

(v) At this stage, Mr. Anmol Sharma, Advocate, puts in appearance on behalf of the complainant and files his Vakalatnama in Court, which is taken on record. Office to tag the same at appropriate place.

(vi) Adjourned to 12.01.2026.

(vii) In the meanwhile, the petitioner is directed to join the investigation as and when required to do so by the Investigating Agency. In the event of his arrest, the petitioner shall be released on ad-interim bail, subject to his furnishing bail bonds to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer. The petitioner shall also be abide by all the conditions laid down under Section 482(2) of BNSS, 2023 (earlier Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.).

(viii) Besides, it is directed that petitioner would hand over his passport to the Investigating Agency or to Court concerned, if he possesses. Otherwise, would submit an affidavit, disclosing the fact that he does not possess any passport.

It is also directed that before leaving country any time during trial, petitioner would seek prior permission of the Court. ”

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in pursuance to the directions issued by this Court vide order dated 09.10.2025, the petitioner has joined investigation.

4. Learned State counsel on instructions from SI Sultan, confirms the said averment made by counsel for the petitioner of joining the investigation by the petitioner, and submits that as of now, custodial interrogation of the petitioner is not required for the purpose of investigation.

5. Heard learned counsel for the parties.

6. Since, petitioner has already joined the investigation and custodial interrogation is no more required; ad-interim bail order dated 09.10.2025 passed



CRM-M-56924-2025

by this Court is hereby made absolute. Accordingly, present petition is allowed.

7. The petitioner shall continue to join the investigation as and when required to do so and abide by all the terms and conditions laid down under Section 482(2) of BNSS, 2023.

8. However, present order would be subject to the submission of his passport to the Investigating Agency or to Court concerned, if he possesses, within a period of one week from today. Otherwise, he would submit an affidavit, disclosing the fact that he does not possess any passport.

9. It is clarified that in case, aforesaid condition is not complied with, this order would be considered as *non est* automatically.

23.03.2026
reena

(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE

Whether Speaking/Reasoned:	YES/ NO
Whether Reportable:	YES /NO