



CRM-M-57055-2025

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

210

CRM-M-57055-2025
Decided on: 13.01.2026

Gurinder Singh @ Guri

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH

Present: Mr. Vrishank Suri, Advocate
for the petitioner.
Mr. Vinay Malhotra, DAG Punjab

SANJAY VASHISTH, J. (ORAL)

1. Prayer in this petition, filed under Section 482 of the BNSS, 2023 (earlier Section 438 Cr.P.C.), is for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner, who has been booked in a criminal case arising out of FIR No.194 dated 14.08.2025, under Sections 331(4), 305 of BNS at PS Rajpura City, Patiala.

2. On 13.10.2025, following order was passed:

“(i) Prayer in this petition, filed under Section 482 of the BNSS, 2023 (earlier Section 438 Cr.P.C.), is for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner, who has been booked in a criminal case arising out of First Information Report, as detailed hereunder:

<i>Name of Petitioner(s)</i>	<i>FIR No.</i>	<i>Date</i>	<i>Section(s)</i>	<i>Police Station</i>	<i>District</i>
<i>Gurinder Singh @ Guri, aged about 25 years</i>	<i>194</i>	<i>14.08.2025</i>	<i>331(4), 305 of BNS</i>	<i>Rajpura City</i>	<i>Patiala</i>

(ii) Learned counsel for the petitioner, inter alia, submits that the alleged

**CRM-M-57055-2025**

incident of theft was detected through CCTV footage, which revealed that occurrence took place during the intervening night of 09/10.08.2025 between 1:15 a.m. and 2:00 a.m. From the CCTV footage, certain individuals were identified, namely Shivam (son of Vijay Kumar), Goldy (resident of Old Rajpura), along with three other unidentified persons.

(iii) Counsel for the petitioner argues that petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case, as he is neither named in the FIR nor seen in the CCTV footage. It is further submitted that petitioner is a young individual, aged 25 years, and has no past involvement in any similar or criminal activity. His name appears to have surfaced only on the basis of disclosure made by co-accused Shubham, without any corroborative material or evidence connecting the petitioner to the commission of the offence. Moreover, petitioner is ready to join the investigation and fully cooperate, if protected from arrest by this Court. Thus, counsel prays for the grant of concession of anticipatory bail in the present case.

(iv) Notice of motion.

(v) On advance notice, learned State counsel puts in appearance on behalf of the respondent – State, and seeks some time to file status report in the matter.

(vi) Adjourned to 13.01.2026.

(vii) Meanwhile, the petitioner is directed to join the investigation as and when required to do so by the Investigating Agency. In the event of his arrest, the petitioner shall be released on ad-interim bail, subject to his furnishing bail bonds to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer. The petitioner shall also be abide by all the conditions laid down under Section 482(2) of BNSS, 2023 (earlier Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.).

(viii) Besides, it is directed that petitioner would hand over his passport to the Investigating Agency or to Court concerned, if he possesses. Otherwise, would submit an affidavit, disclosing the fact that he does not possess any passport.

It is also directed that before leaving country any time during trial, petitioner would seek prior permission of the Court. ”

3. Learned State counsel on instructions from ASI Jaspal Singh, contends that in compliance to the order dated 13.10.2025 passed by this Court, the petitioner has joined the investigation on 18.10.2025, and as of now, custodial interrogation of the petitioner is not required for the purpose of investigation.

4. Since, petitioner has already joined the investigation and custodial



CRM-M-57055-2025

interrogation is no more required; ad-interim bail order dated 13.10.2025 passed by this Court is hereby made absolute. Accordingly, present petition is allowed.

5. The petitioner shall continue to join the investigation as and when required to do so and abide by all the terms and conditions laid down under Section 482(2) of BNSS, 2023.

6. However, present order would be subject to the submission of his passport to the Investigating Agency or to Court concerned, if he possesses, within a period of one week from today. Otherwise, he would submit an affidavit, disclosing the fact that he does not possess any passport.

7. It is clarified that in case, aforesaid condition is not complied with, this order would be considered as *non est* automatically.

(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE

13.01.2026
reena

Whether Speaking/Reasoned:	YES/ NO
Whether Reportable:	YES /NO