



CRM-M-57373-2025

1

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

103

CRM-M-57373-2025
Decided on: 06.03.2026

PARDEEP SINGH SEKHON

.....Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH

Present: Mr. Amit Kumar Saini, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Neeraj Madaan, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

SANJAY VASHISTH, J.

1. Present petition has been filed under Section 482 of BNSS for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in FIR No.113 dated 14.06.2025 under Sections 329(3), 318(4), 61(2), 62 of BNS, registered at Police Station Sadar Jagraon, District Ludhiana Rural.

2. On 14.10.2025, following order was passed:-

“Present petition has been filed under Section 482 of BNSS for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in FIR No.113 dated 14.06.2025 under Sections 329(3), 318(4), 61(2), 62 of BNS, registered at Police Station Sadar Jagraon, District Ludhiana Rural.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has contended that the dispute in the present case is purely civil in nature. The petitioner and complainant are having separate properties and both of them have no right title or interest qua each other's property rather the complainant has tried to take forcible possession of the property belonging to the petitioner. Coaccused, namely, Kamaldeep Sharma has already been granted concession of bail by this Court vide order dated 30.09.2025 in CRM-M-34652-2025. He has further



submitted that the petitioner is ready and willing to join the investigation.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Amit Kumar Goyal, Addl. A.G., Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State and seeks time to file status report.

List on 22.01.2026.

Meanwhile, the petitioner shall join the investigation and would come present as and when called for and in the event of arrest, the petitioner shall be released on interim bail on her furnishing personal and surety bonds to the satisfaction of Arresting/ Investigating Officer. The petitioner shall also abide by the conditions as specified under Section 482(2) BNSS.”

3. Continuing his submissions, learned counsel for the petitioner contends that in compliance of the order dated 14.10.2025, passed by this Court, petitioner has joined the investigation, and has fully co-operated. Therefore, he prays for confirmation of the said interim anticipatory bail order.

4. Learned State counsel on instructions from Inspector Surjit Singh, confirms the said averment made by counsel for the petitioner of joining the investigation on 13.11.2025, by the petitioner, and submits that as of now, custodial interrogation of the petitioner is not required for the purpose of investigation.

5. Heard learned counsel for the parties.

6. Since, petitioner has already joined the investigation and custodial interrogation is no more required; ad-interim bail order dated 14.10.2025, passed by this Court is hereby made absolute. Accordingly, present petition is allowed.



However, petitioner shall continue to join the investigation as and when required to do so and abide by all the terms and conditions laid down under Section 482(2) of BNSS, 2023.

7. Accordingly, petition stands disposed of.

However, present order would be subject to the submission of passport of the petitioner to the Investigating Agency or to Court concerned, if he possesses, within a period of one week from today. Otherwise, he would submit an affidavit, disclosing the fact that he does not possess any passport.

It is clarified that in case, aforesaid condition is not complied with, this order would be considered as *non est* automatically.

(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE

06.03.2026
Lavisha

Whether Speaking/Reasoned: YES/NO
Whether Reportable: YES/NO