

2026.PHHC.012507



**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

120

CRM-M-57389-2025 (O&M)

Date of decision: 29.01.2026

Veerpal Kaur**...Petitioner****Versus****State of Punjab and others****...Respondents****CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANISHA BATRA**


Present:- Ms. Rashi Verma, Advocate for
Mr. Manu Loona, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Ruchika Sabherwal, Senior DAG, Punjab.

MANISHA BATRA, J. (Oral)

1. The present petition has been filed by the petitioner under Section 528 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 seeking issuance of directions to respondents No. 2 to 4 to conduct fair and impartial investigation in the FIR No. 188 dated 29.08.2024, registered under Sections 419, 420, 465, 467, 468, 471 and 120-B of IPC at Police Station City Kotkapura, District Faridkot and to look into her representations dated 23.08.2025/25.08.2025 submitted before respondents No.2 and 3.

2. Reply by way of affidavit of Deputy Superintendent of Police, Sub Division Kotkapura, District Faridkot has been filed. In terms of the same, learned State counsel has submitted that on receipt of a written complaint from the petitioner, the same was duly inquired into and the aforesaid FIR was registered against accused Rupinder Kaur, Nirmala Rani, Raj Kumar, Rajni Bala and Inderjit Kaur. Subsequently, Manpreet Singh and



Angrej Singh were also nominated as additional accused in this case. All the accused persons were arrested. After completion of investigation, challan was presented before the Court concerned. It is further submitted that the aforesaid representation of the petitioner is under consideration and the investigation qua proposed accused/respondents No. 5 to 7 is being conducted as per law. Learned State counsel has further submitted that the entire investigation in the matter has been conducted with due diligence, fairness and unbiasedness, without being influenced by anyone.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner could not dispute the facts as narrated by learned State counsel.
4. In view of the above, the present petition is disposed of as no further orders are called for in the matter.
5. The petitioner is granted liberty to avail her alternative remedies in accordance with law if the proposed accused are not nominated as accused in this case.

29.01.2026

Waseem Ansari

(MANISHA BATRA)

JUDGE

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>