



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

224

COC-3871-2023 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 29.04.2026

BALRAJ SINGH AND ORS

.....Petitioners

Vs.

SH K A P SINHA AND ORS

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE SUDEEPTI SHARMA

Present: Mr. Pawan Kumar, Senior Advocate assisted by
Ms. Vidushi Kumar, Advocate and
Mrs. Seema Rani, Advocate and
Mr. Anubhav Jakhar, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Ravneet S. Joshi, DAG, Punjab.

SUDEEPTI SHARMA J. (Oral)

1. The present contempt petition has been filed for deliberate and intentional disobedience of order dated 24.04.2023 passed by the Division Bench of this Court in CWP-239-2020.
2. Learned counsel for respondents contends that in compliance of order dated 24.04.2023, affidavit of Mr. Rajesh Dhiman, Deputy Commissioner, Bathinda, dated 23.02.2026, along with Draft Scheme, dated 16.02.2026, attached as Annexure R-3/1 and Rapat No.183 dated 16.02.2026, attached as Annexure R-3/2, has been filed. The same is taken on the record. Relevant portion of the affidavit is reproduced as under:-

“That it is further submitted that as submitted above that Notification has since been issued on 21.01.2025 and draft scheme has been published on 16.02.2026 by the Consolidation Officer cum District Revenue Officer, Bathinda and satellite imagery has



been procured through company Maxxar Technologies, thus, the directions of this Hon'ble High Court vide its order dated 24.04.2023 have been duly complied with. Further action regarding completion of consolidation proceedings shall be taken in accordance with law."

3. A perusal of the same shows that order dated 24.04.2023 passed in CWP-239-2020 has been complied with.

4. In view of the same, the contempt is purged and rule is discharged.

5. All the pending miscellaneous applications, if any, are also disposed of

29.04.2026

P. Seth

(SUDEEPTI SHARMA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/non-speaking : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No