



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.**

121

CRM-M-56760-2025 (O&M).

Date of Decision: 22.04.2026.

Inderjeet Singh @ Goro Baba

....Petitioner.

VERSUS

State of Punjab

....Respondent.

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH**Present:** Mr. P.K.S. Phoolka, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Neeraj Madaan, Senior Deputy Advocate General, Punjab.

SANJAY VASHISTH, J. (Oral)

Petitioner-Inderjeet Singh @ Goro Baba, aged 33 years, has filed this petition under Section 483 of BNSS, 2023, seeking regular bail in case FIR No.29 dated 24.03.2025 under Section 15(c) of NDPS Act, registered at Police Station Raman, District Bathinda.

2. As per allegations in the FIR, on the basis of secret information, raid was conducted at the house of the petitioner and from there 200 Kgs of poppy husk was recovered. After the arrest of the petitioner, on the basis of one disclosure statement dated 26.03.2025, further recovery of 42 Kgs of poppy husk (in two bags) was effected.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner has no concern with the house/place, from where the recovery has been shown to be effected. Even during the course of investigation, no evidence has been collected by the Investigating Officer to establish that there was any direct or indirect connectivity of petitioner with the said house. Therefore, counsel

contends that false case has been registered against the petitioner. Counsel further argues that the petitioner is of the age of 33 years and is never in his past found indulged in any other similar activity. He further submits that petitioner has already remained inside jail for a period of more than one year. Trial of the case is likely to consume considerable time. Thus, counsel for the petitioner prays for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in the present case.

4. On the other hand, learned State counsel has filed custody certificate dated 21.04.2026 and status report dated 22.03.2026 by way of affidavit of Deputy Superintendent of Police, Talwandi Sabo, District Bathinda, which are taken on record. Registry is directed to tag the same at appropriate place with the paper book.

Learned State counsel opposed the bail on the ground that keeping in view the nature of offence committed by the petitioners, they do not deserve the concession of bail.

Learned State counsel opposed the bail on the ground that keeping in view the nature of offence committed by the petitioner, he does not deserve the concession of bail.

5. I have considered the submissions addressed by the respective counsel.

6. There are total 21 prosecution witnesses, out of whom 06 have been examined and 07 have been given up by the prosecution, however, 08 are yet to be examined and the petitioner is inside jail for the last more than 01 year i.e. since 01.04.2025. The petitioner is never found indulged in any other criminal activity. Since it is admitted position even by learned State counsel that petitioner was never found indulged in any other case under NDPS Act, present case being first case against him, he requires to be

afforded an opportunity to rehabilitate him in the society.

Considering the above said circumstances in totality, this Court is of the considered opinion that the petitioner deserves the concession of regular bail. However, anything observed hereinabove shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

Consequently, the present petition is **allowed**. Petitioner is ordered to be released on regular bail, subject to his furnishing bail/ surety bonds to the satisfaction of the learned trial Court/Chief Judicial Magistrate/ Illaqa Magistrate/Duty Magistrate concerned, if not required in any other case.

7. Needless to observe that the petitioner shall not extend any threat and shall not influence any prosecution witness in any manner directly or indirectly.

8. The observation made here-in-above shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the facts of the case and the Trial Court is expected to decide the case on the basis of complete evidence available on record.

9. It is further made clear that if, in future, petitioner is directly found indulged in similar kind of activities, this order shall be deemed to be cancelled.

10. **Petition stands disposed of accordingly.**

Pending application, if any, also stand disposed of accordingly.

(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE

22.04.2026

jitender

Whether speaking/ reasoned	:	Yes/ No
Whether Reportable	:	Yes/ No