



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

(114)

CRM-M-57258-2025 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 02.04.2026

SUMEET SIDANA

.....Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE KIRTI SINGH

Present: Mr. Lokesh Narang, Advocate
for the petitioner (through V.C.).

Mr. Anmol Malik, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Chandandeep Singh, Advocate
for respondent No.2. (through V.C.)

KIRTI SINGH, J. (ORAL)

1. Prayer in the present petition filed under Section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (for short 'BNSS'), is for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner, in case FIR No.495 dated 24.12.2024, under Sections 498-A, 406, 323 and 506 of IPC, registered at Police Station Suraj Kund, District Faridabad.

2. Vide order dated 23.02.2026, the petitioner was directed to join investigation. The said order is reproduced hereinafter:-

“Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the genesis of instant FIR lies in the matrimonial disputes between the parties. However, now written compromise has been effected between both the parties, and out of the decided amount of Rs.5.50 lakhs, respondent No.2 has already received an amount of Rs.1 lakhs.

This submission is not disputed by the learned counsel for respondent No.2.



Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that the petitioner is ready and willing to join the investigation and cooperate.

Adjourned to 20.03.2026.

In the meantime, the petitioner is directed to join investigation before the Investigating Agency/Officer. He shall abide by the following conditions as envisaged under Section 482(2) BNSS, 2023;-

(1) That the petitioner shall make himself available for interrogation by a police officer as and when required to do so.

(2) That the petitioner shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts to the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to Court or to any police officer.

(3) That the petitioner shall not leave India without prior permission of the Court.

Needless to mention that the investigating agency/officer shall continue with the investigation of the case in usual manner.

Interim order to continue.”

3. Learned State counsel on instructions from ASI Tellu Ram, submits that in compliance of order dated 23.02.2026, the petitioner has joined the investigation on 25.03.2026 and is not required for any further investigation.

4. Having considered the aforesaid facts and circumstances, the petition is allowed. Order dated 23.02.2026 passed by this Court, is hereby made absolute, subject to the condition enumerated under Section 482(2) BNSS.

5. This order should not be treated as "blanket" order. It will not be read as granting the petitioner(s) indefinite protection from arrest. It shall be confined to the FIR mentioned *ibid* and will not operate in respect of any other incident that involves commission of an offence.

6. Liberty is reserved with the investigating agency/complainant to move to this Court for a direction under Section 483(3) of BNSS, 2023 (erstwhile Section 439(2) of Cr.P.C.), in the event of violation of any term,



stipulated under Section 482(2) BNSS, or upon showing any other sufficient cause.

7. Pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, also stands disposed of.

(KIRTI SINGH)
JUDGE

April 02, 2026
Itihlesh

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No