



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

COCp-3836-2023(O&M)
Date of decision: 11.03.2026

Om Parkash

... Petitioner

Versus

Sanjay Kumar Ranga and another

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKRAM AGGARWAL

Present: Mr. S.K. Bhardwaj, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Ms. Nikita Goel, Advocate,
for the respondents.

VIKRAM AGGARWAL, J. (ORAL)

The instant contempt petition alleges willful disobedience of order dated 12.09.2023 (Annexure P-1), passed in CWP-15413-2016, vide which the following directions were issued:-

“... Accordingly, the writ petition is disposed of, in the wake of agreed stand of learned counsel for the parties, with the observation that in case, the said Notification declaring area of the petitioner as "dark zone" has been withdrawn and no further impediment exists, application of the petitioner for transfer of electric connection to his new tubewell connection shall be considered by the respondents in accordance with law and the requisite demand of statutory charges shall be conveyed to him. In the event of the petitioner depositing statutory charges for transfer of the electricity connection, necessary action in terms of the provisions of Electricity Act, 2003 for transfer of the connection shall be undertaken in a time bound manner, preferably within a period of 45 days from the receipt of the complete application along with all statutory dues as per Section 43 of the Electricity Act, 2003.”

2. Though compliance affidavit had been filed earlier, it was the specific case of the petitioner that the directions of the Writ Court was for transfer of the electricity connection, whereas, though the electricity



connection had been released, but had not been transferred. Under the circumstances, vide order dated 24.12.2025, the respondents were granted two weeks' time to do the needful:-

“CM-25359-CII-2025

Prayer in the present application preferred under Section 151 CPC is for placing on record the additional affidavit with Annexures, in compliance of order dated 22.09.2025.

For the reasons mentioned in the application, the same is allowed. Additional affidavit along with Annexures is taken on record.

COCP-3836-2023

Learned counsel for the parties have been heard. The direction by the Writ court was for transfer of the electricity connection, whereas, concededly, the electricity connection has only been released and not transferred.

The respondents are granted two week's time to do the needful, failing which appropriate orders shall follow.

List on 19.01.2026.”

3. In compliance of the said order, short reply by way of affidavit of Mr. Manoj Kumar, SDO, 'OP' S/Divn. DHBVNL, Jui (Bhiwani) has been filed in Court in today, which is taken on record.

4. In the said affidavit, it has been averred as under:-

“6. That the humble submissions of the deponent as per available official record are as under:-

i. In compliance of the orders passed, the connection was released by the Nigam on 14.09.2024 and the supporting documents are already on record as Annexure R-1 with "Compliance Report by way of affidavit of Prem Singh. SDO, 'OP' S/Div, DHBVNL, Jui (Bhiwani)' dated 15.07.2025.

ii. The tubewell connection of petitioner, namely, Mr. Om Prakash, son of Mr. Nana Ram, was shifted in village Jeetpura. This work was carried out in



presence of R.K. Cuterpress Firm, Duty Magistrate Shri Paramjeet (Assistant Professor) and police force and Sub Divisional Officer DHBVN Jui. (already on record as Annexure R-1 with 'Compliance Report by way of affidavit of Prem Singh, SDO, 'OP' S/Div, DHBVNL, Jui (Bhiwani)' dated 15.07.2025.)

ii. The consumer (petitioner) applied for extension of load from 7.46 KW to 14.92 KW vide application no. B23-525-214 dated 29.05.2025. The consumer connection's load has been extended on CCB Portal and accordingly, connection has been released. The documents are annexed as Annexure R-1/2.

iii. The payment details as mentioned in para 11 of the additional affidavit of the petitioner is not coming forth as per the official record available with Nigam as well as the pleadings qua Annexure A-2 are not coming forth in the Civil Writ Petition as well as the decision of the Hon'ble Court in CWP No. 15413 of 2016.

Therefore, the connection already stands shifted and now running with extended load and in view of entire submissions above the respondent Nigam have fully strived to a comply with the orders of the Court.”

5. It is, therefore, clear that a specific stand has been taken by the respondents that in compliance of the directions (**ibid**), the connection was released by Nigam on 14.09.2024 and that the tubewell connection was shifted to Village Jeetpura. Further, it has also been stated that the petitioner had applied for extension of load, which had also been extended vide document Annexure R-1/2. It has been categoric stated in the reply that the connection already stands shifted and is running with extended load.

6. However, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that as per his instructions, the petitioner had never applied for extension of load.

7. In the considered opinion of this Court, the said fact is not relevant for the purpose of the present contempt petition, as the directions issued by the coordinate Bench stand complied with in letter and spirit.



- 8. That being so, no further orders are required to be passed by this Court, and the instant contempt petition is accordingly disposed of.
- 9. Pending application(s), if any, also stands disposed of.

(VIKRAM AGGARWAL)
JUDGE

March 11, 2026
Rajan

Whether speaking / reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable:	Yes/No