



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH

**119-1**

**CRM-M-56238-2025 (O&M)**

**Date of decision: 30.03.2026**

Ravi Kumar @ Ravi

...Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

State of Punjab

...Respondent(s)

**119-2**

**CRM-M-69338-2025(O&M)**

**Date of decision: 30.03.2026**

Mohd. Ali

...Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

State of Punjab

...Respondent(s)

**CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ**

Present :- Mr. Davinder Bir Singh, Advocate for the petitioner(s)  
in CRM-M-56238-2025.

Mr. Naveen Bawa, Advocate for the petitioner(s)  
in CRM-M-69338-2025.

Mr. Mohit Kapoor, Sr. DAG Punjab.

\*\*\*\*\*

**VINOD S. BHARDWAJ, J. (Oral)**

1. Both these petitions, filed by two accused/petitioners, for grant

119-1  
119-2

CRM-M-56238-2025 (O&M)  
CRM-M-69338-2025 (O&M)

of regular bail in case bearing FIR No.39 dated 20.03.2024, registered under Section(s) 177, 199, 200, 419, 420, 465, 467, 468, 471 and 120-B of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 at Police Station Division No.5, District Police Commissionerate Ludhiana, are being decided by this common order. For the facility of reference, the facts are, however, being taken from **CRM-M-56238-2025** titled as '**Ravi Kumar @ Ravi Vs. State of Punjab**'.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners contend that the case set up by the prosecution against the petitioners is that they furnished fake surety bonds on the basis of forged revenue documents in Court to secure release of accused persons. They contend that the petitioners have been in custody since 11.06.2025 and 12.06.2025 respectively and have undergone an actual custody of more than 09 months. They submit that identically placed co-accused have already been granted the concession of regular bail.

3. The aforesaid claim is not disputed by the learned State counsel.

4. Having heard the learned counsel for the parties and taking into consideration the facts and circumstances as noted above and extending the principle of parity alongwith co-accused/Inderjit Singh @ Babu, who has already been granted the concession of regular bail by this Court vide order dated 11.03.2026 passed in CRM-M-68770-2025 titled as 'Inderjit Singh @ Babu Vs. State of Punjab', I deem it fit to allow both these petitions.

5. Accordingly, the instant petitions are allowed and the petitioners are ordered to be admitted to regular bail subject to them furnishing bail/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty

119-1

CRM-M-56238-2025 (O&M)

119-2

CRM-M-69338-2025 (O&M)

Magistrate/Illaqa Magistrate concerned.

6.

It is made clear that the petitioners shall not extend any threat and shall not influence any prosecution witness in any manner directly or indirectly.
7.

The observation made hereinabove shall not be construed as an expression on the merits of the case and the trial Court shall decide the case on the basis of available material.

30.03.2026

(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)

JUDGE

Mangal Singh

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No