

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

2026:PHHC:043097



116

CRM-M-56186-2025 (O&M)
Date of decision: 18.03.2026

Jagroop Singh @ Yakoob

... Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANISHA BATRA

Present: Mrs. Malkeet Devgan, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Sakshi Bakshi, AAG, Punjab.

...

Manisha Batra, J. (Oral).

1. This petition has been filed by the petitioner under Section 483 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 for grant of regular bail in case arising out of FIR No.19, dated 12.03.2025, registered under Sections 109 and 3(5) of the BNS and Sections 25 and 27 of the Arms Act (offence under Sections 351(2) and 61(2) of the BNS were added lateron vide Rapat No.24, dated 13.03.2025), at Police Station Majitha Road, District Police Commissionerate, Amritsar.

2. The aforementioned FIR was registered on the basis of statement recorded by complainant – Vishal Soor on 12.03.2025, alleging that he was running a jewelery shop. On the same day, he had gone to his shop at about 10:40 A.M. in routine manner and was about to open his shop, when one youth reached there and fired a shot towards him by taking out a

pistol from his waist. The shot was missed. The complainant asked the reason for doing this and rushed for his rescue behind a car parked outside his shop. The said youth chased him and fired 03 more shots but he had a narrow escape. On clamour being raised by the complainant, the said youth fled from the spot along with his pistol but one cartridge had fallen on the road.

3. After registration of the FIR, investigation proceedings were initiated. Accused Harwant Singh @ Harry was arrested in this case. He suffered disclosure statement admitting his involvement in the crime and disclosed that the motorcycle used by him in the commission of the offence was provided by the present petitioner. The petitioner was nominated as accused. He was arrested on 11.08.2025. Investigation now stands completed.

4. It is argued by learned counsel for the petitioner that he has been falsely implicated in this case on the basis of disclosure statement of co-accused, which cannot be considered to be admissible in evidence. He is in custody since long. His antecedents are clean. No useful purpose would be served by keeping him behind the bars. He was not the person, who had fired any shot upon the complainant. The trial will take considerable time to conclude. It is, therefore, argued that the petitioner deserves to be released on bail.

5. Per contra, learned State counsel has argued that the allegations against the petitioner are serious in nature as it was he who had provided a motorbike to the co-accused Harwant Singh @ Harry and was well aware about the plan of the co-accused. He had facilitated the co-accused in

executing his plan. There are chances of his absconding or intimidating the witnesses, if extended benefit of bail. It is, therefore, argued that he does not deserve to be extended the benefit of bail.

6. This Court has heard the rival submissions made by learned counsel for the parties.

7. The petitioner is alleged to have facilitated commission of offence of making an attempt to murder the victim by co-accused by providing a motorbike to him. He was admittedly not present at the spot and has been nominated on the disclosure statement of co-accused. It is only on thorough assessment of the evidence to be produced during trial that any conclusion as to his complicity in the crime can be drawn. He has been in custody since 11.08.2025. The trial will obviously take time to conclude since no prosecution witness has been examined so far. No one had received injury in the incident. Taking into consideration the above discussed facts, this Court is of the considered opinion that a case for grant of bail to the petitioner is made out. Accordingly, the petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on his furnishing personal as well as surety bonds to the extent of two sureties in the like amount each, to the satisfaction of the learned trial Court/Duty Magistrate concerned, and subject to the condition that he shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case. He shall appear before the learned trial Court on each and every date of hearing except when his presence has been exempted by the trial Court. He shall surrender his passport, furnish details of his cell phone and Aadhar card, and shall not change his mobile number(s) during the pendency of the

trial.

8. It is clarified that the observations made above shall not be construed as an expression of opinion of this Court on the merits of the case and shall not influence the outcome of the trial in any manner.

9. Since the main petition has been allowed, pending application, if any, is rendered infructuous.

18.03.2026

harjeet

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes/No
Whether reportable :	Yes/No

(MANISHA BATRA)
JUDGE