



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

216

COCP-5182-2025 (O&M)
Date of decision: 15.01.2026

Devi Lal

...Petitioner

V/s

Anurag Varma and others

...Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKRAM AGGARWAL

Present: Mr. Pawan Kumar Goklaney, Advocate, for the petitioner.
Ms. Shiny Chopra, AAG, Punjab.

VIKRAM AGGARWAL, J (ORAL)

The instant contempt petition, preferred under Section 12 of the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971 read with Article 215 of the Constitution of India, alleges disobedience of the order dated 28.11.2024 (Annexure P-1) passed in CWP-6609-2018, vide which following directions had been issued:-

“8. The respondents were within their jurisdiction to grant said benefit. Earlier ACP granted in the cadre of Patwari on account of foregoing promotion by the petitioner to the post of Kanungo but after the reversion on 13.05.1997, the service rendered thereafter is to be treated afresh from the date of reversion and therefore, the respondents are directed to consider the claim of the petitioners for the grant of ACP on completion of 4 years, 9 years and 14 years from the date the petitioner was reverted i.e. 13.05.1997 onwards and pass fresh speaking order qua the entitlement of the petitioners for the grant of ACP on competition of 4 years, 9 years and 14 years in the cadre of Patwari starting from 13.05.1997 onwards keeping in view the service record of the petitioner.”

2. At the outset, learned counsel representing the State of Punjab has produced a copy of communication dated 12.01.2026 as per which, a sum of Rs.86,256/- stands deposited in the Treasury Office on 09.01.2026 and

payment stands released on 12.01.2026. The same is taken on record as Mark 'X'. A copy thereof has been supplied to learned counsel for the petitioner.

3. That being so, no further orders are required to be passed by this Court.

4. The contempt petition is accordingly disposed of as having been rendered infructuous. Rule stands discharged. In case, some grievance still subsists, the petitioner shall be at liberty to move an application for revival of the instant contempt petition.

Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

(VIKRAM AGGARWAL)
JUDGE

January 15, 2026

vcgarg

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No