



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

131

CRM-M- 55975-2025 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 30.03.2026

HARGURPREET SINGH

... PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB

....RESPONDENT

CORAM : HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE KIRTI SINGH

Present: Mr. Naveen Kumar Jaglan, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Guramrit Kaur, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Amandeep Singh Manaise, Advocate for the complainant.

KIRTI SINGH, J. (ORAL)

1. Prayer in the present petition filed under Section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (for short 'BNSS'), is for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner, in case FIR No.60 dated 26.07.2025, under Sections 115, 316(2), 85 of BNS, 2023, registered at Police Station Women, District Amritsar.

2. Vide order of the coordinate Bench of this Court dated 23.03.2026, the petitioner was directed to join investigation. The said order is reproduced hereinafter:-

"The present petition has been filed under Section 482 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (for short 'BNSS') for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case arising out of FIR No.60 dated 26.07.2025 registered under Sections 115, 316(2), 85 of Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 at Police Station Women, District Amritsar.

A perusal of order sheets reveals that this Court has been making efforts to amicably resolve the dispute between the parties since 27.10.2025, however, the same has not fructified.



Vide order dated 27.10.2025, the petitioner was granted interim relief to the effect that no coercive steps shall be taken against him till the next date of hearing in order to explore the possibility of compromise. However, despite best efforts made including interaction facilitated by this Court, the parties apparently do not appear to be inclined towards settlement at this stage. This Court is also conscious of the fact that a minor girl aged about 6 years is presently in custody of the petitioner and her future may be adversely affected on account of on going dispute between the parties. However, the parties are apparently not understanding the same. Though, arguments have been raised from both the sides. Be that as it may, the FIR has been lodged raising various allegations emanating from the matrimonial discord and admittedly this is the second complaint between the parties as after the first complaint, the dispute had amicably resolved. As per the allegation, the complainant was allegedly thrown out of the house on 08.05.2025 and complaint was lodged on 10.05.2025.

Learned State counsel has filed the status report by way of an affidavit of Lalit Kumar, PPS, Assistant Commissioner of Police (CAW) Amritsar City, (Additional Charge) in pursuance of 13.01.2026 on behalf of respondent-State, which is taken on record.

Heard learned counsel for the parties.

Apparently, the dispute revolves around monetary and financial indiscipline levelling allegations and counter allegation and considering the fact that nothing is to be recovered from the petitioner and all the allegations and counter-allegations are only matter of trial, therefore, the petitioner is directed to join the investigation on 24.03.2026 at 10:00 A.M. before the Investigating Officer and to cooperate with the Investigating Agency thereafter.

In the event of arrest, the petitioner shall be released on interim bail, subject to furnishing personal/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the Arresting/Investigating Officer. The petitioner shall abide by the conditions envisaged under Section 482, BNSS, 2023.

Adjourned to 30.03.2026.

It is made clear that this order shall not be construed as parity qua any other co-accused."

3. Learned State counsel on instructions from the investigating officer concerned, submits that in compliance of order dated 23.03.2026, the petitioner has joined the investigation and is not required for any further investigation.

4. Having considered the aforesaid facts and circumstances, the petition is allowed. Order dated 23.03.2026 passed by this Court, is hereby made absolute, subject to the condition enumerated under Section 482(2) BNSS.



5. This order should not be treated as "blanket" order. It will not be read as granting the petitioner(s) indefinite protection from arrest. It shall be confined to the FIR mentioned *ibid* and will not operate in respect of any other incident that involves commission of an offence.

6. Liberty is reserved with the investigating agency/complainant to move to this Court for a direction under Section 483(3) of BNSS, 2023 (erstwhile Section 439(2) of Cr.P.C.), in the event of violation of any term, stipulated under Section 482(2) BNSS, or upon showing any other sufficient cause.

7. Pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, also stands disposed of.

30.03.2026
SwarnjitS

(KIRTI SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No