



CRM-M-56089-2025

1

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

276

CRM-M-56089-2025

Date of decision: 10.02.2026

HARDEEP SINGH AND OTHERS

.... PETITIONER(S)

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

...RESPONDENT(S)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASJIT SINGH BEDI

Present: Mr. Kunal Jindia, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Athar Ahmed, DAG, Punjab.
Mr. Vicky Sharma, Advocate for respondent Nos.2 and 3.

(THROUGH VIDEO CONFERENCING)

JASJIT SINGH BEDI, J. (Oral)

The prayer in this petition is for quashing of an FIR No.24 dated 13.02.2025 (Annexure P-1) registered under Sections 115(2), 117(2), 190, 191(3) and 126(2) of BNS, 2023 at Police Station Satnampura, District Kapurthala along with all consequential proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of a compromise arrived at between the petitioner and respondent Nos.2 and 3.

Vide order dated 09.10.2025 this Court had directed the parties to appear before Illaqa Magistrate for getting their statements recorded with regard to the compromise dated 12.09.2025 (Annexure P-2).

The Illaqa Magistrate/trial Court was to submit a report in this regard giving certain details as enumerated in the said order.

Pursuant to the order dated 09.10.2025 passed by this Court, the parties have appeared before the learned Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Phagwara and as per the report dated 02.02.2026 submitted to this Court, both



CRM-M-56089-2025

the parties have got recorded their respective statements in Court.

A perusal of the aforesaid report would show that the parties have effected a genuine compromise without there being any pressure, coercion or undue influence. In view of the compromise there is a remote possibility of the complaint coming forward to support the prosecution case. The powers under Section 482 Cr.PC can be exercised in such like situation in order to prevent unnecessary vagaries of criminal trial to be faced by the parties, when there are remote chances of conviction of the accused. The compromise in question is found to be fully in consonance with the direction issued by the Court in ***“Kulwinder Singh & Ors. Vs. State of Punjab 2007(3) RCR (Criminal) 1052 and Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab & Anr., 2012(4) RCR (Crl.) 543”***.

In view of the aforesaid report of the learned Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Phagwara accompanied by statements of both the parties, the FIR No.24 dated 13.02.2025 (Annexure P-1) registered under Sections 115(2), 117(2), 190, 191(3) and 126(2) of BNS, 2023 at Police Station Satnampura, District Kapurthala along with all consequential proceedings arising therefrom are hereby quashed qua the petitioners.

Petition stands disposed of.

(JASJIT SINGH BEDI)
JUDGE

10.02.2026

Kusum

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No