

2026:PHHC:004431-DB



CWP-29793-2025 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 15.01.2026

Guru Nanak Dev Educational and Charitable Society ...Petitioner

Vs.

The Director (Academics) and another ...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ASHWANI KUMAR MISHRA
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ROHIT KAPOORPresent: Mr. Bhushan Bhatia, Advocate for the petitioner.
(through video conferencing)Mr. B.S. Sudan, Advocate for
Dr. Puneet Kaur Sekhon, Advocate for respondent No.1.

Ms. Samdisha Kaur, AAG, Punjab.

ASHWANI KUMAR MISHRA, J. (Oral)

1. This petition has been filed by the petitioner with a prayer to command the respondents to issue no objection certificate for running of a pharmaceutical institution.

2. It is undisputed that for establishment of pharmaceutical institution, respondent No.1 is required to issue consent for affiliation while respondent No.2 is required to issue no objection certificate.

3. A short reply has been filed by respondent No.1 in the matter stating that the last date for making application for such purpose was 20.01.2025, whereas, the petitioner had submitted its application after such date. Demand drafts of the petitioner in this regard are also of a subsequent date.

4. Replication has been filed by the petitioner, in which, a reference is made to the extension of date by the Pharmacy Council of India. The extension of date by the Pharmacy Council of India is not of any avail.
5. It is undisputed that the petitioner was required to submit the application before respondent No.1 on or before 20.01.2025. The application made by the petitioner is after such date. The bank drafts have also been submitted much later.
6. We may also note that as per the original notification, last date for submitting the application was 30.09.2024, as prescribed by the Punjab State Board of Technical Education. The dates were subsequently extended vide circular dated 27.11.2024 and 10.01.2025. Over this entire period, the petitioner did not make any attempt even to submit a formal application. There is nothing on record to show that the petitioner was prevented from submitting the application within the time frame as provided by the respondents.
7. Once that be the position, we are not inclined to issue any direction for consideration of the petitioner's claim. The same fails and is consequently dismissed.
8. All pending misc. application(s), if any, also stand disposed of.

(ASHWANI KUMAR MISHRA)
JUDGE

(ROHIT KAPOOR)
JUDGE

15.01.2026
rajesh

- | | | |
|-------------------------------|---|--------|
| 1. Whether speaking/reasoned? | : | Yes/No |
| 2. Whether reportable? | : | Yes/No |