



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH
206
CRM-M-55804-2025

SUKHPAL SINGH
V/s
STATE OF PUNJAB
...PETITIONER
...RESPONDENT

Date of decision: 04.02.2026
Date of uploading: 04.02.2026

CORAM: HON’BLE MR. JUSTICE SUMEET GOEL
Present: Mr. Nitin Narula, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Jaypreet Singh, DAG, Punjab.

SUMEET GOEL, J.

1. Present petition has been filed under Section 482 of BNSS, 2023 for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case bearing FIR No. 0134 dated 22.08.2025, registered for the offences punishable under Sections 420, 465, 468, 120-B of IPC at Police Station Sultanwind, District Amritsar.
2. On 01.10.2025, the following order was passed:-

ANTICIPATORY BAIL UNDER SECTION			482 BNSS
FIR No.	Date	Police Station	Sections
134	22.08.2025	Sultanwind, District Amritsar	420, 465, 468, 120-B of IPC

Notice served upon the official respondent through the State’s counsel.
The Senior Most Investigator conducting the investigation in the FIR captioned above is to file/hand over the status report/instructions positively before the next date, failing which the concerned Superintendent of Police or an equivalent or higher Police Officer shall file/hand over their



Reply/Response/Instructions/Status report by the extended date, and if it is still not filed, the concerned Inspector General of police shall have to file it.

The Reply/Response/Instructions/Status report shall also mention the following details under the following headings:

A. The amount and/or value of the proceeds of crime involved in this FIR.

B. The amount and/or value of the proceeds of crime involved in this FIR attributed to the petitioner.

C. The amount/value of the proceeds of crime involved in this FIR, which have been recovered and/or frozen.

D. The amount/value of the proceeds of crime involved in this FIR, which have been recovered from the petitioner and/or frozen of the petitioner.

E. The evidence based on which the petitioner was arraigned as an accused.

F. The Evidence against the Petitioner.

G. The role of the petitioner.

H. Petitioner's criminal antecedents, except for the FIRs in which the petitioner was absolved, discharged, or acquitted.

I. In case of dismissal of this petition, would the police arrest the petitioner in this FIR, and do they need the accused's police custody?

The petitioner's counsel, on instructions, states that, without conceding or admitting the offence, the petitioner be given another chance, and the petitioner undertakes to reform and not to repeat the offence.

The petitioner's counsel further submits that the arrest be stayed, and they would have no objection whatsoever to any stringent conditions that this Court may impose.

Given the above, in the facts and circumstances peculiar to this case, in the meantime, the petitioner's arrest in the FIR captioned above shall remain stayed until further orders. It is clarified that this order of stay of arrest shall not be considered as a blanket bail order in any other matter and is only limited to granting bail in the FIR mentioned above.

It is clarified that the order of stay of arrest shall not be construed as an order of interim bail. This interim order is subject to the condition that the petitioner shall join investigation on 13.10.2025 and 14.10.2025 or on any other date when the Investigator wants the petitioner to join the investigation.

List on 4.2.2026."

3. Learned State counsel, on instructions, has stated that pursuant to the order dated 01.10.2025, the petitioner has joined investigation and is no longer required for custodial interrogation.

4. Keeping in view the factual milieu of the case in hand; especially the factum of the petitioner having joined investigation and cooperated therein and is no longer required by the State for further custodial interrogation, this Court is inclined to confirm the order dated 01.10.2025, granting anticipatory bail to the petitioner, subject to the conditions as enumerated under Section 482(2) of BNSS.

5. Ordered accordingly.



- 6. This order should not be treated as “blanket” order. It will not be read granting petitioner indefinite protection from arrest. It shall be confined to the FIR mentioned *ibid* and will not operate in respect of any other incident that involves commission of an offence.
- 7. Liberty is reserved in favour of State/complainant to move for cancellation/recall of this order in case the petitioner violates any condition stipulated under Section 482(2) of BNSS, 2023 or upon showing any other sufficient cause.
- 8. Needless to say that anything observed herein above shall not be construed to be an opinion on the merits of the case.
- 9. Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

(SUMEET GOEL)
JUDGE

04.02.2026
jatin

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No