



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

105

CRM-M-55688-2025 (O & M)

Date of decision: 23.02.2026

DEEPAK BANSAL

...Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMAN CHAUDHARY

Present : Mr. Manvender Chauhan, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. B.S. Saroha, DAG, Haryana.

AMAN CHAUDHARY, J. (ORAL)

1. The present petition has been filed under Section 482 of BNSS for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.67 dated 28.02.2025 registered at Police Station Sadar Ballabgarh, District Faridabad, under Sections 22C/21B/29 of NDPS Act (Section 238 of BNS added later on).

2. On 15.12.2025, this Court had passed the following order:-

“Learned counsel submits that name of the petitioner surfaced on the disclosure statement of co-accused, Vishavbandhu Saini, who was implicated on the statement of Parveen, who was named by Ravinder, from whom recovery of 1200 tablets of Alprazolam weighing 172.8 grams, was allegedly effected. There is no recovery effected from the petitioner. Reliance is placed on the judgment passed by Hon'ble The Supreme Court in the case of **Toofan Singh Vs. State of Tamil Nadu**, 2021 (1) RCR (Criminal) 1. He is not involved in any other case; ready and willing to join the investigation as and when required by the investigating agency and will cooperate.

Adjourned to 23.12.2025.

Meanwhile, the petitioner is directed to join the investigation on or before 18.12.2025. In the event of his arrest, he shall be released on interim bail to the satisfaction

of the Arresting Officer, subject to compliance of conditions as enshrined under Section 482(2) of BNSS, 2023.

However, it is clarified that if the petitioner does not join and cooperate with the Investigating Agency as required by the Arresting/Investigating Officer, this interim order shall be deemed to have been vacated.”

3. Learned counsel submits that in pursuance of the aforementioned order, the petitioner has not only joined investigation but also fully cooperated with the investigating agency. He further submits that in case the investigating agency requires the petitioner to appear, he shall make himself available without demur.

4. Learned State counsel on instructions from Investigating Officer affirms the factum of joining the investigation by the petitioner and cooperating with the investigating agency. He also submits that at this stage, the petitioner is not required for further custodial interrogation.

5. In view of the above and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, anticipatory bail petition filed by the petitioner is allowed and the order dated 15.12.2025 granting interim bail to him, is hereby made absolute, subject to compliance of conditions as specified under Section 482(2) of BNSS, 2023.

6. However, it is made clear that if the petitioner fails to join and cooperate with the investigating agency as and when required, the State would be at liberty to move an application for cancellation of the present anticipatory bail granted to him.

23.02.2026

parveen kumar

(AMAN CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes / No

Whether reportable : Yes / No