



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

(252)

**CRM-M-55879-2025
DATE OF DECISION: 20.03.2026**

Gurpreet Singh Gogia and others

.....Petitioners

VERSUS

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUBHAS MEHLA

Present Mr.Nimanyu Gautam, Advocate,
for the petitioners.
Mr. Subhash Godara, Addl. AG, Punjab.
Mr. Swarn Tiwana, Advocate,
for respondents no.2 and 3.

SUBHAS MEHLA, J (ORAL)

1. Prayer in the present petition is for quashing of FIR No.0068 dated 24.04.2025, under Sections 318(4), 316(2), 336(2), 338, 340(2), 351(2) and 61(2) of BNS, 2023, registered at Police Station Focal Point, Ludhiana, District Police Coimmissionerate, Ludhiana (Annexure P-1) and all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise dated 21.05.2025 (Annexure P-2) arrived at between the parties.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners contends that the above stated FIR was registered on the statement of the complainant/respondents No.2 and 3 namely Amrit Pal Singh and Tejinder Pal Singh, who are co-villagers and friends and with the intervention of respectable, the matter has been amicably settled between them; that the parties have clear antecedents and no other case is pending between them and to keep peace and harmony in the society, the present FIR may be quashed on the basis of compromise.

3. On notice of motion, Mr. Subhash Godara, Addl. AG, Punjab,



CRM-M-55879-2025

appeared on behalf of respondent no.1/State. Respondents No.2 and 3 appeared in the Court through their counsel and pleaded that they have no objection if the FIR in this case is quashed on the basis of the aforesaid compromise, which has been effected between the parties.

4. During the course of preliminary hearing, the trial Court/Illaq Magistrate was directed to record the statements of the all the concerned parties with regard to genuineness and validity or otherwise of the aforesaid compromise.

5. In compliance thereof, report from the Court of learned Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Ludhiana along with statements of the parties has been received, in which, it is mentioned that the compromise is genuine and there was no undue influence or coercion from any side.

6. Heard.

7. Learned counsel for the petitioners and for respondents No.2 and 3 are *ad idem* that in view of the settlement effected between the parties, the present petition deserves to be accepted. It has also come on record that the aforesaid compromise is genuine and the parties effected the same without any undue influence or coercion.

8. In view of above, nothing remains to be adjudicated further in the present case. Thus, continuation of the criminal proceedings between the parties would be a futile exercise and sheer wastage of time of the Court and thus, amounts to abuse of process of law.

9. For the reasons afore-stated and having regard to the law laid down by Hon'ble Apex Court in ***Gian Singh v. State of Punjab and another, 2012 (4) RCR (Criminal) 543*** and Five Judges Bench of this Court



CRM-M-55879-2025

in *Kulwinder Singh and others v. State of Punjab and another, 2007(3) RCR (Criminal) 1052*, this petition is allowed and FIR No.0068 dated 24.04.2025, under Sections 318(4), 316(2), 336(2), 338, 340(2), 351(2) and 61(2) of BNS, 2023, registered at Police Station Focal Point, Ludhiana, District Police Coimmissionerate, Ludhiana (Annexure P-1) and all the subsequent proceedings are hereby quashed qua the petitioners, subject to payment of Rs.25,000/- (to be shared equally by all petitioners) as costs, to be deposited with Indian Red Cross Society having account No.3578152035, Central Bank of India, Sector 17-B, Chandigarh, IFSC CBIN0280412.

10. Receipt regarding deposit of aforesaid cost be produced before the Court concerned. It is made clear that if cost is not deposited within a period of one month i.e. upto 20.04.2026 present petition shall be deemed to be dismissed.

20.03.2026
mamta

(SUBHAS MEHLA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No