



CR-7565-2025 (O&M)

-1-

115

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CR-7565-2025 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 19.01.2026**

Executive Engineer, Punjab Water Supply Sewerage Board, Division No.2,
Ludhiana Petitioner

Versus

M/s DASM Constructions Company Private Ltd. and another
..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASGURPREET SINGH PURI

Present: Mr. Angadpreet Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner (through V.C.).

JASGURPREET SINGH PURI, J. (ORAL)

1. The present revision petition has been filed under Article 227 of the Constitution of India for setting aside the order dated 19.01.2023 (Annexure P-4) passed by learned Additional District Judge, SAS Nagar, Mohali vide which the application seeking condonation of delay in filing objections under Section 34 of the Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996 (hereinafter referred to as 'the Act') and consequently the objections under Section 34 of the Act have been dismissed and also for setting aside the order dated 08.07.2025 (Annexure P-6) passed by learned Addl. District Judge, SAS Nagar, Mohali whereby the application seeking restoration of objections under Section 34 of the Act has been dismissed.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner has caused appearance through video conferencing and submitted that the objections filed by the petitioner under Section 34 of Arbitration and Conciliation Act were dismissed in default vide order dated 19.01.2023 (Annexure P-4) passed by



CR-7565-2025 (O&M)

-2-

learned Addl. District Judge, SAS Nagar, Mohali since nobody had appeared on that date. Thereafter, the petitioner filed an application for restoration of the objections under Section 34 of the Act on 17.12.2024 (Annexure P-5) but the same was also dismissed vide order dated 08.07.2025 (Annexure P-6). He submitted that both the aforesaid orders (Annexure P-4 & P-6) may be set aside in view of the fact that there was some miscommunication between the petitioner, which is a Government Undertaking and the Counsel.

3. I have heard learned counsel for the petitioner through video conferencing.

4. The objections under Section 34 of the Act filed by the petitioner were dismissed in default by learned Additional District Judge, SAS Nagar, Mohali vide order dated 19.01.2023 (Annexure P-4). Thereafter, the petitioner filed an application for restoration of the aforesaid objections vide Annexure P-5 on 17.12.2024 which was after a delay of almost two years from the date of dismissal of the objections in default. A perusal of the aforesaid application would show that it is totally vague and evasive in nature. It merely states that there was some miscommunication between the department whereas it does not specifically states that there was some miscommunication with the counsel. In any case, there is no such date mentioned in the aforesaid application as to when the petitioner came to know about the dismissal of the objections and there was a delay of about two years in filing the aforesaid restoration application. Not only this, even no application for condonation of delay was filed by the petitioner along with the application for restoration and these were the precise reasons as to



CR-7565-2025 (O&M)

-3-

why learned Addl. District Judge, SAS Nagar Mohali vide order dated 08.07.2025, had dismissed the application filed by the petitioner for restoration of the objections under Section 34 of the Act.

5. This Court is, therefore, of the considered view that no illegality or perversity can be found in the impugned orders (Annexures P-4 & P-6). Consequently, finding no merit in the present revision petition, the same hereby dismissed.

19.01.2026

(JASGURPREET SINGH PURI)
JUDGE

Bhumika

- | | |
|-------------------------------|--------|
| 1. Whether speaking/reasoned: | Yes/No |
| 2. Whether reportable: | Yes/No |