

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

112

2026:PHHC:011259



CRM-M-56336-2025 (O&M).
Date of decision: 27.01.2026.

ANITA RANI AND ANOTHER

...Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

...Respondent(s)

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ

Present :- Ms. Sheena Khanna, Advocate,
(Through Video Conference)
for the petitioners.

VINOD S. BHARDWAJ, J. (Oral)

Seeking directions to the official respondents No.2 and 3 to register FIR against respondent No.4 and to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners at the hands of said private respondent No.4, the instant petition has been filed.

Learned counsel for the petitioners contends that petitioner No.1 was given an assurance by respondent No.4 that her son Aman, would be sent abroad. On the assurance so given by respondent No.4, the petitioner No.1 remitted a sum of Rs.2 lakhs each on 25.04.2023 and 02.05.2023, thereafter Rs.3 lakhs were transferred in the account of wife of respondent No.4 namely Balwinder Kaur on 26.04.2023 by selling her property. Accordingly, a total amount of Rs.20,25,000/- is alleged to have been paid to respondent No.4. It

is contended that despite payment of aforesaid huge amount, son of petitioners was not sent abroad, hence, they have been cheated of their hard earned money. It is further contended that a representation dated 12.09.2025 was accordingly submitted to respondent No.2, however, since no action has been taken thereupon, the present petition has been filed.

Pursuant to the order passed by this Court, status report on behalf of respondents No1 to 3 by way of affidavit of Raj Kumar, PPS, Deputy Superintendent of Police, Sub Division Nawanshahr, District S.B.S. Nagar, has been filed. The relevant extract of the status report reads thus:-

“5. That the deponent humbly submits that the aforesaid complaint of the petitioner No.1 was marked to the deponent for conducting inquiry of the aforesaid complaint of the petitioner No.1. During the course of inquiry, the deponent called both the parties to put forth their version, upon which both the parties appeared before the deponent. After recording the statement of the parties and considering the facts of the case, the deponent concluded his inquiry. It is further submitted that it was revealed during inquiry that earlier complaint (s) No. 875-PTM dated 01.04.2024, 964-PTM dated 05.04.2024, 176-Peshi dated 26.02.2024, moved by the different persons against the respondent No.4, were received at the office of respondent No.2-SSP SBS Nagar and the same were thoroughly inquired by the then Deputy Superintendent of Police, Sub Division Nawanshahr, District SBS Nagar. All these complaints were having similar subject matter of the present complaint of the petitioner and the said complaints were already filed/consigned.

6. That the deponent humbly submits that it was further revealed during inquiry that the son of the petitioner namely Aman, had appeared in ILETS exam but could not secured

sufficient Bands, upon which, the respondent No.4 informed the aforesaid Aman about work permit of England. The son of the petitioners struck his deal with the respondent No.4. The respondent No.4 came into the contact of Sadiq Shah, owner of S.P Study Planner, A 308, 309, Shangrila Arcade 100 Feet, Anand Nagar, R.D Road Shyamal, Ahmadabad, who deals in Emigration Work. Thereafter, the respondent No.4 talked with the said Sadiq Shah for sending the aforesaid Aman to England and got applied the file of the said Aman through Sadiq Shah. Thereafter, the son of the petitioners got five years work permit for working in COLYPOWER LTD company. On 22.07.2023, the son of the petitioners had gone to England on work permit (visa), however, on 08.01.2024, the son of the petitioners received e-mail from Immigration department, whereby it was disclosed to him that the company, where he was working has been closed and its licenses has been withdrawn on 12.09.2023. Before getting this e-mail, the son of the petitioners already got his resident permit in England up to December 2024, however, later on, the said company got de-listed and validity of his resident permit card was reduced to 08.03.2024. It was further revealed during inquiry that on 24.01.2025, the son of the petitioners returned to India.

7. *That the deponent humbly submits that it was further revealed during inquiry that the son of the petitioners got five year work permit (visa) of England and the said visa had been granted by UK Embassy, however, the company i.e. Coly Power Ltd., was not existing in England. The said Coly Power Ltd. company was de-listed in England only after the son of the petitioners reached to England. The existence of the said company in England should be checked by UK Embassy, while granting visa to son of the petitioner. It was further revealed during inquiry that notices were issued by the UK Embassy to son of the petitioners for applying for fresh visa but the son of the*

petitioner could not get work visa again in England and he became illegal in England. On 24.01.2025, the son of the petitioner returned to India and he was not deported by the UK Government. It is further submitted that the deponent vide his inquiry report further recommended to obtain legal opinion on this matter and submitted his report to the Senior Superintendent of Police, SBS Nagar.

8. That the deponent humbly submits that the inquiry report mentioned above was sent to Deputy District Attorney (Legal), SBS Nagar for legal opinion, wherein it was opined that the son of the petitioners reached England on work permit and all the formalities were cleared and he entered in England without any hindrance. Thereafter, he worked there in the company but later on the said company got de-listed. Meaning thereby that as per the assurance of respondent No.4, the son of the petitioners entered in England, does work there but unfortunately after some time, the said company got closed. So there is no fault on the part of Arjun Sharma as he had fulfilled his promise and as per the facts of the case and statement of the son of the petitioners, ingredients of cheating are missing. It was further opined in legal opinion that earlier, also inquiry on the same facts were conducted by the then Deputy Superintendent of Police, Nawanshahr on 02.07.2024 and the said complaint was seen and filed by the Senior Superintendent of Police, SBS Nagar. Now no fresh evidence or new fact has come into light for which fresh inquiry needs to be conducted. Moreover, multiple inquiries on the same fact between same parties is violating the order dated 01.04.2008, issued by the Director General of Police, Punjab. It was further opined by the Deputy District Attorney (Legal), SBS Nagar that no criminal offence is made out against the respondent No.4.”

It is evident from a perusal of the status report that a work permit

had been obtained by Amit, son of the petitioners in Coly Power Limited Company. The son of the petitioners had gone to England on work permit (VISA), however, on 08.01.2024, he received an e-mail from the Immigration Department to the effect that the Company where he was working has been closed and its license had been withdrawn on 12.09.2023 i.e. after the son of the petitioner had already been working in the said company. It is further evident from a perusal of the affidavit/status report that notice was issued by U.K. Embassy to son of the petitioners for applying for fresh VISA, however, as their son could not get a fresh Work VISA, hence, his stay in England became illegal. Consequently, the son of the petitioners was deported by the U.K. Government.

Apparently, there are intervening circumstances which reflect that petitioners have not made a correct portrayal of the facts and have withheld certain information. It is also evident from the status report that the representation filed by the petitioners has already been considered by the respondent authorities and action has been taken by them on the same. Hence, there is no occasion for issuing any further directions to the respondents at this stage.

The present petition is accordingly dismissed.

January 27, 2026.
raj arora

(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No