



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CR-7663-2023(O&M)
Date of decision : 19.02.2026

M/s Ram Kishan Dass Om Parkash Commission Agents & others

... Petitioners

Versus

Deepak Goel and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present: Mr.J.K. Goel, Advocate and
Mr.Shivam Gupta, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Saurabh Bajaj, Advocate
for the respondents.

VIKAS BAHL, J.(ORAL)

1. This is a Civil Revision Petition filed under Article 227 of the Constitution of India for setting aside of order dated 09.11.2023 (Annexure P-4) passed by the Nyayadhikari, Gram Nyayalaya, Ladwa.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted that the objections raised by the petitioners with respect to limitation and court fee, which have been rejected vide the impugned order, be permitted to be taken by the petitioners during the course of trial. It is further submitted that the said objections during the course of trial be considered de hors the observations made in the impugned order.



3. Learned counsel for the respondents has submitted that he has no objection to the said course of action but in case any such pleas are raised, liberty be also granted to the respondents to oppose the same and to lead all evidence in support of their stand.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioners has further submitted that the proceedings before the Gram Nyayalaya are not maintainable.

3. Learned counsel for the respondents on the said aspect has highlighted that no such objection has been taken in the application under Order 7 Rule 11 CPC.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioners, in view of such objection, has submitted that the petitioners be permitted to file fresh application before the trial Court for taking such objections.

5. Keeping in view the above said facts and circumstances, the petitioners are permitted to withdraw the present petition with liberty to raise all pleas which are available to them during the course of trial including the pleas of limitation and court fee and in case such pleas are raised, it would be open to both the parties to lead all evidence and raise all pleas in support of their respective stand and the trial Court would decide the same at the time of final adjudication de hors the observations made in the impugned order. It would be also open to the petitioners to move an application raising the plea that Gram Nyayalaya has no jurisdiction to proceed in the case. In case any such application is filed, it would be open to the respondents to raise all pleas to oppose the same, in accordance with



law.

6. It is made clear that this Court has not opined on the merits of the issues with respect to limitation, court fee and jurisdiction and it would open to both the parties to raise all pleas in accordance with law.

7. Pending application, if any, stands disposed of in view of the abovesaid order.

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

February 19, 2026.
Davinder Kumar

Whether speaking / reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No