



**CRM-M-56351-2025(O&M) and
CRM-M-68851-2025(O&M)**

1

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

210

CRM-M-56351-2025

Akashdeep Singh @ Jhota

... Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Punjab

... Respondent(s)

210-2

CRM-M-68851-2025

Akashdeep Singh @ Hawai

... Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Punjab

... Respondent(s)

Decided on :09.01.2026

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH

Present: Mr. Amardeep Singh, Advocate for the petitioner
(in CRM-M-56351-2025).

Ms. Amarjeet Kaur, Advocate for
Mr. Sukhbir Maandi, Advocate
for the petitioner (in CRM-M-68851-2025).

Mr. Vinay Malhotra, DAG, Punjab.

SANJAY VASHISTH, J. (Oral)

1. By way of this common order, both the aforementioned petitions are being disposed of, as they arise out of one common FIR.

2. Present petitions have been filed under Section 483 of the BNSS, seeking regular bail in FIR No. 29 dated 12.02.2025, registered under Sections 109, 190, 191, 351(3), 324(4) of BNS and Sections 25 and 27 of the Arms Act, at Police Station City Tarn Taran, District Tarn Taran.

3. As per the allegations in the FIR, police received secret information that accused, namely: (i) Jagrup Singh @ Gujjar, (ii) Sameer



**CRM-M-56351-2025(O&M) and
CRM-M-68851-2025(O&M)**

2

Singh, (iii) Ranjit Singh @ Giani, and (iv) Gurvinder Singh, are persons of bad character who frequently fire gunshots in the village to instill fear among the residents. The informant further stated that the said accused, along with 30–40 unidentified persons, and armed with illegal firearms and other deadly weapons, had opened direct gunfire at the houses of Hira Singh and Gurmit Singh with the intention to kill them.

On receipt of the information, without verifying the factual aspects, FIR in question was registered for the offences mentioned above, including attempt to murder.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioners relies upon the orders passed in respect of anticipatory bail of the named accused in the FIR, namely:

- **Jagrup Singh @ Gujjar** – granted anticipatory bail vide order dated 15.05.2025 (P-3) in CRM-M-21248-2025,
- **Sameer Singh** – granted anticipatory bail vide order dated 15.05.2025 (P-4) in CRM-M-16258-2025, and
- **Gurvinder Singh** – granted anticipatory bail vide order dated 02.07.2025 (P-5) in CRM-M-26033-2025.

Further, other accused persons with whom the petitioners claim parity, namely Jagir Singh (not named in the FIR) and Ashok Kumar @ Sokha, were granted regular bail by the co-ordinate Bench of this Court vide orders dated 28.04.2025 (P-6) and 22.05.2025 (P-7) in CRM-M-20886-2025 and CRM-M-27188-2025, respectively.



**CRM-M-56351-2025(O&M) and
CRM-M-68851-2025(O&M)**

3

Learned counsel, therefore, pray for grant of regular bail to the petitioners.

5. Learned State counsel is unable to controvert any of the factual aspects which have already been noticed in the bail orders of the other co-accused, including those who were granted anticipatory bail and whose names appear in the FIR.

6. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and have carefully perused the paper book along with the documents appended thereto.

8. Considering the circumstances in its entirety, including the manner in which the FIR has been registered solely on the basis of secret information without prior verification or factual inquiry, the young age of the petitioners, the absence of any prior criminal record, and the fact that several co-accused named in the same FIR have already been granted anticipatory or regular bail, I do not find any substantial reason to continue the detention of the petitioners. The prolonged incarceration at this stage, without trial having progressed meaningfully, would be detrimental to their personal liberty.

Consequently, present petitions are allowed. Petitioners are ordered to be released on bail, subject to their furnishing bail/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the learned trial Court/Chief Judicial Magistrate/Illaq Magistrate/Duty Magistrate concerned, if not required in any other case.



**CRM-M-56351-2025(O&M) and
CRM-M-68851-2025(O&M)**

4

9. Any of the discussion done and recorded hereabove, shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the facts of the case. Therefore, trial Court is expected to decide the case by taking an independent view, on the basis of evidence available on record, as expeditiously as possible in accordance with law.

10. It is further made clear that if, in future, the petitioners are found to be directly involved in similar activities, the prosecution would be at liberty to seek cancellation of bail.

11. Petitions stand disposed of.

12. A photocopy of this order be placed on the file of another connected case.

**(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE**

09.01.2026

Rashmi

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No