

CM-4865-CII-2026 IN/&
COCP-4516-2024(O&M)

1

2026.PHHC:063677



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CM-4865-CII-2026 IN/& COCP-4516-2024(O&M)
Date of decision : 27.04.2026

Joga Singh and another ... Petitioners

Versus

Bikramjit Singh Shergill ... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present: Mr. S. S.Salar, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Anupam Singla, Advocate
for the respondent.

VIKAS BAHL, J.(ORAL)

CM-4865-CII-2026

1. This is an application under Section 151 CPC for restoration of the contempt petition which was dismissed on 24.02.2025.

2. For the reasons stated in the application which is supported by an affidavit, the application is allowed and the order dated 24.02.2025 is recalled and the contempt petition is ordered to be restored to its original number.

Main case

1. This is a petition filed under Section 12 of the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971 for non-compliance of the order dated 26.02.2024 passed by the Co-ordinate Bench of this Court.



2. On 13.03.2026, this Court was pleased to pass the following order:-

*“Present: Mr. S.S. Salar, Advocate
for the applicant-petitioners.
Mr. Anupam Gupta, Advocate
for the respondent.*

Learned counsel for the applicant-petitioners has submitted that vide order dated 26.02.2024, the coordinate Bench of this Court had disposed of the writ petition filed by the petitioners by passing the following order:

“If any such justice demand notice is served by the petitioners, within a period of one month from today, the same shall be considered and decided by the respondents, within a period of four months thereafter and if the petitioners are held entitled to certain benefits, the same shall be released within a period of one month thereafter.

Disposed of in above terms.”

It is submitted that in pursuance of the same, a detailed order dated 21.02.2025 was passed by the authorities in favour of the petitioners and as per the said order, the petitioners were entitled to several benefits. It is argued that since at that stage, the order was in favour of the petitioners, the contempt petition was not pressed as the petitioners were under the impression that in pursuance of the order dated 21.02.2025, the benefits to which the petitioners are entitled to would be released immediately thereafter. It is further submitted that the contempt petition came up for hearing on 24.02.2025, three days after the passing of the order dated 21.02.2025. It is further argued that even in the order passed by the coordinate Bench in the writ petition, it was clearly mentioned that in case the petitioners are entitled to certain benefits, the same were required to be released within a period of one month thereafter, which has not been done.

Learned counsel appearing for the respondent prays for an adjournment to get instructions in the matter.

Adjourned to 27.04.2026.



To be shown in the urgent list.”

March 13th, 2026”

3. Learned counsel for the respondent has filed short reply by way of affidavit of Navdeep Kumar, Additional Managing Director, PRTC, Head Office, Nabha Road, Patiala, on behalf of the respondent, a copy of which has been given to this Court which is taken on record as Mark A and a copy of the same has also been given to learned counsel for the petitioners.

Paragraphs 2, 3 and 4 of the said affidavit read as under:-

“2. That with regard to the claim of the petitioners, it is submitted that in terms of concluding Para No. 1 of the order dated 21.02.2025 (Annexure P-5), the petitioners have been converted from Contributory Provident Fund Scheme to the Old Pension Scheme (GPF Scheme) and there GPF will be deducted instead of CPF and benefits under rules shall be granted as per entitlement. Detail of new GPF accounts of the petitioners is placed as annexure R-1.

3. That it is further submitted that the benefit of ACP Scheme has been granted to the petitioners and their salary have been fixed notionally in terms of concluding Para No. 2 of the order dated 21.02.2025 (Annexure P-5). The detail of the same are attached as Annexure R-1.”

4. That the leave encashment will be paid to the petitioners on their retirement as per concluding Para No. 3 of the order dated 21.02.2025 (Annexure P-5).”

4. Learned counsel for the respondent has submitted that the relief to which the petitioners were entitled to has already been given to the petitioners.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted that in view of the same, the present petition be disposed of but liberty be granted to the petitioners to revive the same in case anything remains outstanding.

CM-4865-CII-2026 IN/&
COCP-4516-2024(O&M)

4

2026.PHHC:063677



- 6. Ordered accordingly.
- 7. Pending application, if any, stands disposed of in view of the abovesaid order.

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

April 27, 2026.
Davinder Kumar

Whether speaking / reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No