



CRM-M-56199-2025 1

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

(218)

CRM-M-56199-2025

DATE OF DECISION: 15.01.2026

Vijay Kumar @ Daddi

.....Petitioner

VERSUS

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUBHAS MEHLAPresent Mr.Ashok Kumar Sama, Advocate, for the petitioner.
Mr. Sandeep Kumar, DAG, Punjab.

SUBHAS MEHLA, J (ORAL)

1. By way of the present petition, the petitioner is seeking regular bail in case FIR No.64 dated 20.04.2023, (wrongly mentioned as 24.04.2023 in impugned order) under Section 420 IPC, registered at Police Station Sadar Fazilka, Punjab.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the case against the present petitioner is that he has cheated the complainant of Rs.7,00,000/- for sending him abroad; no date, time or month even years has been mentioned in the FIR to the effect that when complainant handed over money to the petitioner and even the mode of transaction was also not disclosed; no source of procuring alleged amount of Rs.7,00,000/- came on surface in the contents of FIR and that the petitioner has nothing to do with the alleged allegations; petitioner was arrested on 19.08.2025; charges are yet to be framed; petitioner has not been declared proclaimed offender, hence, prayer for grant of regular bail to the petitioner is made.

3. On the other hand, Mr. Sandeep Kumar, DAG, Punjab, learned State counsel opposed the bail application and by placing on record the custody certificate of the petitioner, which is taken on record, submitted that



CRM-M-56199-2025 2

petitioner has undergone the custody of only four months and twenty five days; his antecedents are not clean and clear as two FIRs one under Section 307 IPC and another under Section 21/27/61/85 of NDPS Act are pending against him and the next date fixed before the learned trial Court is 20.01.2026.

4. Heard.
5. Having heard learned counsel for the parties as the petitioner is in custody for the last around five months, the instant petition stands disposed off with a direction to the learned Trial Court to expedite the conclusion of trial preferably within a period of 3 months from the next date of hearing fixed before it. If the trial Court fails to conclude the trial within the stipulated period, then the petitioner would be at liberty to move an appropriate application seeking grant of bail before the Trial Court. Upon such application being filed, the Trial Court shall release the petitioner on bail subject to his furnishing requisite bonds to its satisfaction by imposing such condition that his presence can be secured during the trial as the petitioner is in custody since the last around five months. It is made clear that if the delay in the trial is caused on the part of the petitioner/accused, then he shall not be entitled for the aforesaid relief.

15.01.2026	(SUBHAS MEHLA)
mamta	JUDGE
Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No