

**CR-7020-2025 (O&M)****IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH****CR-7020-2025 (O&M)****Date of Decision: January 20, 2026**

Indian Oil Coproration Ltd.

.....Petitioner

Vs.

Anil Sharma @ Anil Kumar and others

.....Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE SUDEEPTI SHARMA**

Present: Mr. Akshay Bhan, Sr. Advocate assisted by  
Mr. Ashish Kapoor and Mr. M.S. Rana, Advocates,  
for the petitioner.

Mr. Ankur Mittal, Sr. Advocate assisted by  
Ms. Kushaldeep Kaur, Ms. Sharvi Dadhwal & Ms. Ashna Singh,  
Mr. Shivendra Swaroop, Advocates,  
for respondent No. 1.

\*\*\*\*

**SUDEEPTI SHARMA J. (Oral)**

1. Present revision petition is preferred against order dated 12.09.2025 passed by learned Addl. Civil Judge (Jr. Divn.),Bawal whereby application under Order 7 Rule 11 CPC filed by the petitioner/defendant No. 2, was dismissed.

2. Learned senior counsel for the petitioner/defendant No. 2 contends that the petition under Public Premises (Eviction of Unauthorized Occupants) Act, 1971 (herein after to be referred as 'Act 1971') was filed on 15.07.2025 wherein respondent No. 1/plaintiff appeared on 22.07.2025 still the civil suit was filed on 29.08.2025 by respondent No. 1/plaintiff, which was barred under Section 15 of the Act, 1971. He further contends that the impugned order was passed on 22.09.2025 and thereafter, the petition filed by the petitioner/defendant No. 2 under Act, 1971 was decided on 12.11.2025, against which the petitioner has already availed remedy of appeal. He, therefore, prays that the present petition be allowed

**CR-7020-2025 (O&M)**

3. Per contra, learned senior counsel for respondent No. 1/plaintiff contends that bar under Section 15 of the Act, 1971 would not be applicable to the fact and circumstances of the case of respondent No. 1/plaintiff. He, therefore, prays that the present petition be dismissed.

4. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the whole file of this case with their able assistance.

5. Without commenting upon the merits of the case, in the changed circumstances as on date, when the petition filed by the petitioner/defendant No. 2 under the Act, 1971 is decided, vide order dated 12.11.2025 i.e after the date of impugned order i.e 12.09.2025, justice demands that learned Addl. Civil Judge (Jr. Divn.),Bawal should be apprised about the latest status of the present case.

6. In view of the above, order dated 12.09.2025 passed by learned Addl. Civil Judge (Jr. Divn.),Bawal is hereby set aside. Accordingly, the revision petition is disposed of with a direction to the petitioner to file application under Order 7 Rule 11 CPC afresh by adding new facts, within a period of one week and thereafter, learned Addl. Civil Judge (Jr. Divn.), Bawal shall decide the same in accordance with law after giving full opportunity to both the parties and after following the principles of natural justice, within a period of one month.

7. Pending application (s), if any also stands disposed of.

**(SUDEEPTI SHARMA)**  
**JUDGE**

**20.01.2026**

Gaurav Arora

Whether speaking/non-speaking  
Whether reportable

: Yes/No  
: Yes/No