



233

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-55538-2025

Date of Decision:- 13.02.2026

LASHMAN AND OTHERS

....Petitioners

Vs.

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ANOTHER

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE AMARJOT BHATTI

Present:- Mr. Sanjeev Kumar Banga, Advocate for the petitioners.

Ms. Amrit Kaur Mahir, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Ajay Singh, Advocate for
the respondent No.2.

AMARJOT BHATTI, J.

1. Petitioners Lashman, Ram Parkash, Satnam Singh, Baljit Kaur and Satia Devi have filed instant petition for quashing of FIR No.36 dated 24.04.2021 under Sections 498-A and 506 IPC registered at Police Station Behram, District SBS Nagar along with all subsequent proceedings on the basis of compromise dated 01.09.2025 (Annexure P-2).

2. As per facts of the case, Karamjit Kaur respondent No.2/complainant filed complaint against her husband Lashman Singh and other members of in-laws family. She got married about 5 years ago and out of this wedlock she is having a son aged about 4 years. Her husband went to Dubai and in his absence other members of in-laws family started



harassment. She was beaten up after consuming liquor. She was kept confined in the matrimonial home. Her husband was aware of her condition. She started living separate. Even there was no change in their behaviour. Her husband had sold her gold ornaments. There was interference of her mother-in-law. Matter also came up before panchayat and police station. Ultimately, she accompanied her parents. Now she is receiving threats from her husband on phone. Thereafter, matter was reported to the police.

3. Petitioners filed this petition for quashing of aforesaid FIR on the basis of compromise. Vide order dated 30.09.2025, petitioners and respondent No.2 were directed to appear before the trial Court/Illaq Magistrate for recording their statements on the basis of compromise. Detailed report regarding compromise has been received from the Court of Judicial Magistrate 1st Class, Shaheed Bhagat Singh Nagar, dated 03.11.2025. Statement of respondent No.2 has been recorded where she confirmed the compromise with petitioner. She confirmed that this compromise has been effected without any pressure, coercion or undue influence and she has no objection regarding quashing of FIR.

4. Petitioners – Lashman, Ram Parkash, Satnam Singh, Baljit Kaur and Satia Devi have also confirmed this fact in their joint statement. Statement of ASI Rajesh Kumar is also recorded who further confirmed that accused are not proclaimed offenders in this case.

5. Therefore, from the report of Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, SBS Nagar, it is clear that the compromise has been effected between the



parties without any pressure, coercion or undue influence, which is acceptable to both the parties. Both husband and wife are residing together.

6. Gainful reference can be made to the judgment of Larger Bench of Five Judges of this High Court cited in 2007(3) R.C.R. (Criminal) 1052 titled as Kulwinder Singh and Ors. Vs. State of Punjab and Anr., where it was explained that ‘there can never be any hard and fast category which can be prescribed to enable the court to exercise its power under Section 482 of Cr.P.C. The only principle that can be laid down is the one which has been incorporated in the section itself i.e. to prevent the abuse of the process of any court or to secure the ends of justice.’

7. Therefore, by relying upon the ratio of the aforesaid judgment, no purpose would be served with the continuation of criminal proceedings. Considering these facts, the petition filed by the petitioners is accepted and FIR No.36 dated 24.04.2021 under Sections 498-A and 506 IPC registered at Police Station Behram, District SBS Nagar and all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom are quashed qua petitioners Lashman, Ram Parkash, Satnam Singh, Baljit Kaur and Satia Devi.

8. Pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, stand disposed of accordingly as well.

(AMARJOT BHATTI)
JUDGE

13.02.2026
snd

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No.
Whether reportable : Yes/No