

CWP-29443 of 2025

2026:PHHC:012811



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-29443 of 2025

Date of decision: 29.01.2026

Mr. Pritpal Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NAMIT KUMAR

Present: - Mr. Liaqat Ali, Advocate, for the petitioner.
Mr. Surya Kumar, AAG, Punjab.


NAMIT KUMAR, J. (ORAL)

1. On 09.12.2025, the following order was passed by this

Court: -

“The petitioner has filed the instant petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, seeking a writ of certioari, quashing the order dated 02.12.2024 (Annexure P-6) passed by respondent No.2, whereby the claim of the petitioner for providing him job on compassionate ground has been rejected.

Brief facts of the case are that the grandfather of the petitioner namely Jeet Singh was working as Baildar in the respondent-Department who unfortunately died, while in service, on 28.10.2016. Thereafter, father of the petitioner (son of late Jeet Singh) applied for compassionate appointment on 28.03.2017 and completed the formalities of documentation on 25.07.2017. While his case for compassionate appointment was under process, he unfortunately died on 16.08.2017. At that point of time, the petitioner was minor as his date of birth is 15.07.2003 and he attained the age of majority on 15.07.2021. Thereafter, he applied for compassionate appointment on 28.10.2021, however, his claim was rejected by the



respondents which was challenged by him by filing CWP No.25610 of 2024 (Pritpal Singh Vs.State of Punjab and another) which was disposed of by this Court vide order dated 03.10.2024 (Annexure P-5) as no reasons were assigned while rejecting the claim of the petitioner for compassionate appointment and the said order was ordered to be treated as withdrawn with liberty to pass fresh speaking order. Thereafter, the claim of the petitioner has been considered and rejected by respondent No.2, vide order dated 02.12.2024 (Annexure P-6), on the ground that there is no provision in the policy to offer compassionate appointment to the grandson of the deceased employee. The said order has been impugned in the present petition.

Learned counsel for the petitioner seeks short adjournment to place on record complete copy of the policy and to assist the Court.

Adjourned to 17.12.2025.”

2. However, the said order has not been complied with despite grant of two more opportunities on 17.12.2025 and 09.01.2026.
3. Faced with this situation, learned counsel for the petitioner wishes to withdraw the present petition with liberty to file fresh one on the same cause of action with better particulars by attaching necessary policy.
4. Dismissed as withdrawn with aforesaid liberty.

29.01.2026
R.S.

(NAMIT KUMAR)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether Reportable : Yes/No