



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

(297)

CRM-M-55201-2025(O&M)

DATE OF DECISION: 12.01.2026

Manish Kumar

.....Petitioner

VERSUS

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUBHAS MEHLA

Present

Mr.Akshat Dalal, Advocate, and
Mr. Jai Vats, Advocate, for the petitioner.
Mr. T.P.Singh, Sr.DAG, Haryana.
Mr. Anil K. Sokal, Advocate,
for Mr. Shlok Dalal, Advocate and
Mr. Satinder Rana, Advocate,
for respondents no.2 and 3.

SUBHAS MEHLA, J (ORAL)

1. Prayer in this petition is for quashing of FIR No.0153 dated 10.03.2023, under Sections 279, 337 and 338 of IPC, registered at Police Station Shahabad, District Kurukshetra and all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise dated 24.09.2025 (Annexure P-2) arrived at between the parties.

2. The above stated FIR was registered on the statement of the complainant/respondent No.2 Vikas Rana.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the matter has been sorted out amicably between the parties and for keeping peace and harmony in the society, requested for quashing of the impugned FIR along with all consequential proceedings arising therefrom.

4. On notice of motion, respondents No.2 and 3 appeared in the Court through their counsel and pleaded that they have no objection if the



has been effected between the parties.

5. On the other hand, learned State counsel admitted the factum of compromise between the parties and submitted that he has no objection if, impugned FIR is quashed.

6. During the course of preliminary hearing, learned Illaqa Magistrate / trial Court was directed to record the statements of the all the concerned parties with regard to genuineness and validity or otherwise of the aforesaid compromise.

7. In compliance thereof, report from the Court of learned Sub Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Shahabad along with statements of the parties has been received, in which, it is mentioned that the compromise is genuine and there was no undue influence or coercion from any side.

8. This Court has heard learned counsel for the parties.

9. Learned counsel for the petitioner and for respondents No.2 and 3 are *ad idem* that in view of the settlement effected between the parties, the present petition deserves to be accepted. It has also come on record that the aforesaid compromise is genuine and the parties effected the same without any undue influence or coercion.

10. In view of above, nothing remains to be adjudicated further in the present case. Thus, continuation of the criminal proceedings between the parties would be a futile exercise and sheer wastage of time of the Court and thus, amounts to abuse of process of law.

11. For the reasons afore-stated and having regard to the law laid down by Hon'ble Apex Court in ***Gian Singh v. State of Punjab and another, 2012 (4) RCR (Criminal) 543*** and Five Judges Bench of this Court



RCR (Criminal) 1052, this petition is allowed and FIR No.0153 dated 10.03.2023, under Sections 279, 337 and 338 of IPC, registered at Police Station Shahabad, District Kurukshetra all the subsequent proceedings are hereby quashed qua the petitioners, subject to payment of total Rs.5,000/- as cost, to be deposited in account of Indian Red Cross Society having account No.3578152035, Central Bank of India, Sector 17-B, Chandigarh, IFSC CBIN0280412.

12. Receipt regarding deposit of aforesaid cost be produced before the Court concerned. It is made clear that if cost is not deposited within a period of one month i.e. upto 12.02.2026, present petition shall be deemed to be dismissed.

13. All pending misc. applications, if any, be also disposed of.

12.01.2026
mamta

(SUBHAS MEHLA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No