



CRM-M-55137-2025

-1-

**251 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-55137-2025

Date of decision: 09.02.2026

AAZAD AND ORS.

...PETITIONERS

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA AND ORS.

...RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASJIT SINGH BEDI

Present: Mr. D.S. Matya, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Vipul Sherwal, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. Akash, Advocate for respondents No.2 to 5.

JASJIT SINGH BEDI, J. (ORAL)

1. The prayer in this petition is for quashing of FIR No. 342 dated 12.09.2025 under Sections 109(1), 118(1), 3(5), 351(3) of BNS, 2023, at Police Station Bhondsi, District Gurugram (Annexure P-1) and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise deed dated 20.09.2025 (Annexure P-2) arrived at between the parties.

2. Mr. Akash, Advocate has put in appearance on behalf of respondents No.2 to 5 and filed Vakalatnama, which is taken on record.

3. Vide order dated 29.09.2025 this Court had directed the parties to appear before Illaqa Magistrate for getting their statements recorded in terms of certain parameters given in the aforesaid order dated 29.09.2025 with regard to the compromise dated 20.09.2025 (Annexure P-2).

4. In terms of the orders dated 29.09.2025 passed by this Court parties have appeared before the court of Mr. Mandeep Singh, Civil Judge (Jr. Division)-cum-Judicial Magistrate 1st Class, Sohna and as per his report dated



CRM-M-55137-2025

-2-

30.10.2025 submitted to this Court, both the parties have got recorded their respective statements in Court.

5. Perusal of the aforesaid report would show that the parties have effected a genuine compromise without there being any pressure, coercion or undue influence. In view of the compromise there is a remote possibility of the complainant coming forward to support the prosecution case. The powers under Section 482 Cr.PC can be exercised in such like situation in order to prevent unnecessary vagaries of criminal trial to be faced by the parties, when there are remote chances of conviction of the accused. The compromise in question is found to be fully in consonance with the direction issued by the Court in ***Kulwinder Singh & Ors. Vs. State of Punjab 2007(3) RCR (Criminal) 1052 and Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab & Anr., 2012(4) RCR (Crl.) 543.***

6. As no injury attracting Section 307 IPC has been caused, there is a little possibility of conviction being recorded under Section 307 IPC.

7. In view of the aforesaid report of the Civil Judge (Jr. Division)-cum-Judicial Magistrate 1st Class, Sohna accompanied by the joint statement of both the parties, the present FIR No. 342 dated 12.09.2025 under Sections 109(1), 118(1), 3(5), 351(3) of BNS, 2023, at Police Station Bhondsi, District Gurugram and all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom are hereby quashed qua the petitioners herein.

8. Petition stands disposed of.

09.02.2026
renubala

(JASJIT SINGH BEDI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether reportable: Yes/No