



**221 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP-27507-2023 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 24.03.2026

SANDEEP GARG

....Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

...Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PARMOD GOYAL**

Present: Mr. H.S. Grewal, Advocate for
Mr. Ajay Chauhan, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Sandeep Chhabra, Additional Advocate General, Haryana.

Mr. Ashish Rawal, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

Mr. Aamol Singh, Advocate
Mr. Anurag Jain, Advocate and
Ms. Chahat, Advocate
for respondents No.3 and 4.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J. (ORAL)

1. The challenge in the present petition is against the order dated 02.11.2023 (Annexure P-1) passed by the learned Central Administrative Tribunal (hereinafter referred to as “the Tribunal”), Chandigarh bench, Chandigarh in OA bearing No.899/2023, by which order the interim relief prayed by the applicant was rejected.
2. Learned counsel for respondents submits that jurisdiction to decide the issue with regard to recovery of the alleged excess payment to be

done is already pending consideration before the Tribunal where an Original Application has been filed by the petitioner and it was only for seeking the interim relief that the present writ petition was filed. At present, due to the pendency of the present writ petition, even the issue raised in the Original Application is still pending consideration and is being adjourned.

3. Learned counsel for petitioner submits that he has no objection in case, the interim order passed by the Coordinate Bench of this Court dated 07.12.2023 is continued till the pendency of the Original Application. Learned counsel for respondents raises no objection to the same.

4. Keeping in view the above, the present writ petition is disposed of with observation that let the Tribunal decide the issue raised in the Original Application whether the recovery can be made from petitioner or not. Till the passing of the final order in the Original Application, no recovery shall be done from the petitioner. Let the Tribunal decide the said issue expeditiously, preferably within a period of three months from the next date of hearing.

5. Pending applications, if any, stand disposed of.

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

24.03.2026
chiranjeev

(PARMOD GOYAL)
JUDGE

Whether Speaking/Reasoned : Yes/No
Whether Reportable : Yes/No