



CRM-M-55020-2025 **1**
IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

141 **CRM-M-55020-2025**
Decided on :18.03.2026

Sanjay . . . Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Haryana . . . Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH

Present: Mr. Sahil Loura, Advocate for
Mr. Sahil Chaudhary, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. P.K. Jhanda, Sr. DAG, Haryana.

-.-

SANJAY VASHISTH, J. (Oral)

1. Present petition has been filed under Section 483 of BNSS, seeking regular bail in case FIR No.200 dated 20.06.2022, under Sections 364A, 302, 201, 34 IPC, registered at Police Station Sector 13-17, Panipat.

2. On 17.03.2026, following was recorded:

“1. xxx

2. *First bail petition filed by the petitioner was disposed of having been not pressed on 28.05.2024. Petitioner Sanjay and his co-accused – Vinod Kumar, who are facing accusations that on 20.06.2022 at about 2:30 PM, they both hatched conspiracy to kidnap a child, namely, Raunak (8 years old that time), of complainant Smt. Seema (PW-11), by sending by sending ransom letter, they demanded Rs.15.00 lakhs. ransom letter, they demanded Rs.15.00 lakhs.*

**CRM-M-55020-2025****2**

In furtherance of common object, both the accused kidnapped the victim child and killed him and put the dead body of the child in a sack along with one piece of brick and one piece of interlocked cement and threw the sack containing the dead body in the village pond, so that the body of the child do not come out of the water level.

3. *As per impugned order dated 25.08.2025 (P-2), out of total 25 prosecution witnesses, 12 witnesses have already been examined.*

Allegations in the present case are very serious as one innocent child had been brutally murdered and thereafter, his dead body had also been thrown in the village pond.

4. *Since, Mr. Sahil Chaudhary, learned counsel for the petitioner is not available to assist the Court, in the interest of justice, case is adjourned to 18.03.2026*

To be taken up as first case of the day.

Learned counsel be also informed about the next date fixed in the matter”

3. Today, Mr. Sahil Loura, Advocate for Mr. Sahil Chaudhary, Advocate, appeared on behalf of the petitioner and argued that the petitioner has already remained in jail for a period of 03 years, 08 months, and 24 days. However, no submissions were made on the factual aspects of the case with reference to the evidence collected during the investigation by the Investigating Agency.

4. I have examined the record and, considering the serious allegations for which the petitioner is facing trial, do not find any substantial reason to release the petitioner on bail. Accordingly, present petition is hereby dismissed.



CRM-M-55020-2025

3

5. District Attorney and the Trial Court concerned are directed to make strenuous efforts to conclude the trial expeditiously, preferably within a period of six months from today.
6. Let a copy of this order be forwarded to the Trial Court and District Attorney concerned for necessary information and compliance.

(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE

18.03.2026
Rashmi

Whether speaking/reasoned: *Yes/No*
Whether Reportable: *Yes/No*