

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

2026:PHHC:018777-DB



FAO-CARB-46-2025 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 09.02.2026

Union of India

...Appellant

Vs.

M/s Nand Puri & Sons

...Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ASHWANI KUMAR MISHRA
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ROHIT KAPOOR**

Present: None for the appellant.

ASHWANI KUMAR MISHRA, J. (Oral)

1. Following order was passed on 21.11.2025:-

“The only ground on which order of the Commercial Court under Section 34 of the Arbitration of Conciliation Act, 1996 (hereinafter referred to as ‘the Act’) is assailed is that the objection to the proceedings before the Arbitrator under Section 16 of the Act has not been considered judiciously.

The objections raised in application under Section 16 of the Act, apparently goes beyond the scope of permissible objections under Section 16 of the Act.

Faced with the above observations, learned counsel for the appellant comes up with the prayer to adjourn the matter as the application under Section 16 of the Act is not on record.

List on 09.02.2026.

It is made clear that the pendency of this matter shall not be treated as grant of any interim relief to the appellant and the Executive Court shall be at liberty to proceed further.”

2. No one appears on behalf of the appellant, when the matter is called out.

- (ASHWANI KUMAR MISHRA)**
JUDGE

(ROHIT KAPOOR)
JUDGE

1. Whether speaking/reasoned? : Yes/No
2. Whether reportable? : Yes/No