



CRM-M-54621-2025

1

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

337 CRM-M-54621-2025
Decided on :18.02.2026

Navdeep Singh and anotherPetitioners
Versus

State of Punjab and anotherRespondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH

Present: Ms. Pallavi Babbar, Advocate for
Mr. Kushager Goyal, Advocate for the petitioners

Mr. Iqbalpreet Singh, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Himanshu Setia, Advocate for respondent No.2.

SANJAY VASHISTH, J.(Oral)

1. Instant petition has been filed under Section 528 of BNSS, seeking quashing of the below detailed First Information Report (FIR), and all the consequential proceedings arising therefrom, on the basis of the compromise dated 13.09.2025(P-2), effected between the parties.

DETAILS OF CRIMINAL CASE:-

FIR No.	Date	Section(s)	Police Station
0330	04.10.2020	323, 325, 341, 34 IPC	Sultanpur Lodhi, District Kapurthala

2. Vide order dated 26.09.2025, the affected parties were directed to appear before the learned Trial Court/Illaqa Magistrate, for getting their respective statements recorded with regard to the compromise.



3. Report has since been received from learned Sub Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Sultanpur Lodhi in pursuance to the directions of this Court wherein, the factum of the compromise arrived at between the parties stands verified and confirmed. As per the report(s) compromise has indeed been effected between the parties and the same is without any pressure or coercion and out of their free will and the private respondent(s) has also made statement to the effect that he has no objection if the FIR qua the accused-petitioners is quashed.

4. The trial Court has annexed the statements of the parties in original, along with its report. The relevant part of the said report is reproduced herebelow:

Sr. No.	Description	
1.	Total number of persons arrayed as accused in the case	As per statement of ASI Pal Singh there are total three persons arrayed as accused namely Navdeep Singh, Gurjit Singh and Gurmail Kaur. As per record accused Gurjit Singh has been died.
2.	Whether all the accused and complainant / victims are party to compromise & signed the same	Yes all the accused and complainant/victims are party to compromise and recorded their statements.
3.	Whether any accused has been declared as PO or any such proceedings have been initiated or pending decisions;	As per statement of ASI Pal Singh accused has not been declared as a proclaimed offender or no such proceedings have been initiated or pending.
4.	Stage of trial/proceedings;	As per record the present case is at the stage of defence evidence



5.	Whether the compromise is genuine, voluntary and without any coercion or undue influence?	From the statements of the parties it transpires that the compromise is genuine, voluntary and without any coercion or undue influence.
----	---	---

5. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioners are the only named accused in the FIR in question, and that the private respondent is complainant/aggrieved party therein.
6. Learned State counsel does not dispute the aforesaid factual position and affirms the same during the course of arguments.
7. In view of the report of the learned Sub Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Sultanpur Lodhi, and the principles laid down by Hon’ble the Apex Court in *Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and others (2012) 10 SCC 303*, and also by the Full Bench of this Court in *Kulwinder Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab and another, 2007(3) RCR (Criminal) 1052*, the instant petition is allowed. The aforesaid FIR and all consequential proceedings arising out of it, are quashed.
8. Needless to say the parties shall remain bound by the terms of compromise and their statements recorded before the Court below
9. Petition stands disposed of.

18.02.2026
Rashmi

(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE

Whether Speaking/Reasoned: YES/NO
Whether Reportable: YES/NO