



CRM-M-54479-2025 (O&M)

1

**141 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH****CRM-M-54479-2025 (O&M)****Date of Decision: 11.03.2026****MAHENDER SINGH****... PETITIONER****VERSUS****STATE OF HARYANA****... RESPONDENT****CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.S.GREWAL**

Present:- Mr. Vikas Bishnoi, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Vijay Kumar, AAG Haryana.

H.S. GREWAL, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioner is seeking regular bail under Section 483 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita (BNSS) in FIR No. 56 dated 20.01.2025 registered under Section 15(c) of the NDPS Act (Sections 29/27-A of NDPS Act added later on) and Sections 121(1)/221/324(5)/132 of BNS, at Police Station Hisar Sadar, District Hisar.

2. The case of the prosecution is that the FIR was registered on the basis of secret information that accused Sehdev, along with his wife Pari and one Harsh, was coming from the Siwani side in a vehicle bearing registration No. DL-2 CAT-4610 (Terrano) carrying doda post and could be apprehended if a naka-bandi was conducted. Acting on this information, the police stopped the said vehicle. During its search, 89.800 kg of poppy husk packed in four bags was recovered. The vehicle was being driven by Harsh, while the woman travelling in the car was identified as Pari @ Lalita, wife of Sehdev. Sehdev, however, managed to escape from the spot.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is innocent and has been implicated falsely in the present case. The petitioner has been named in the FIR on the basis of the disclosure statement of co-accused Sehdev while he was in custody in FIR No. 255/2024 under the NDPS Act

registered at P.S. Agroha. The petitioner is in custody for the last 10 months and 06 days.

4. Learned State counsel vehemently opposes the prayer for grant of regular bail to the petitioner on the ground of gravity of allegations. Learned State counsel has filed the custody certificate in Court, which is taken on record. As per the custody certificate, the petitioner is in custody for the last 10 months and 06 days. It is further stated that the petitioner is involved in one more case.

5. I have heard the learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

6. After hearing the rival contentions of the learned counsel for the parties and keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the case that the petitioner has been nominated on the basis of the disclosure statement of the co-accused; he is in custody for the last 10 months and 06 days; the conclusion of trial would take time and continuous detention of the petitioner would not serve the ends of justice, this Court deems it fit to grant the concession of regular bail to the petitioner during the pendency of the trial.

7. Therefore, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the instant petition is allowed. The petitioner is ordered to be released on regular bail on his furnishing requisite bail bonds, surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate/Chief Judicial Magistrate concerned.

8. It is clarified that while on bail so granted through the instant order the petitioner is found indulging in any other criminal case, it shall be open to the State to seek cancellation of his bail.

(H.S.GREWAL)
JUDGE

11.03.2026

Janki

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

: Yes/No
: Yes/No