



**In the High Court for the States of Punjab and Haryana
at Chandigarh**

271

CRM-M-56379-2025 (O&M)
Date of Decision:- 27.01.2026

Gurpreet Singh and another ... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and another ... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUBHAS MEHLA

Present:- Mr. Ramandeep S. Brar, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr. Subhash Godara, Addl. A.G., Punjab.

Ms. Navdeep Kaur, Advocate for
Mr. Amritpal Singh Buttar, Advocate,
for respondent No.2.

SUBHAS MEHLA, J. (Oral)

1. Prayer in this petition is for quashing of FIR No.117 dated 19.11.2022 registered under Sections 323, 336, 452, 341, 506, 148, 149 of IPC and Sections 25, 27 of Arms Act, at Police Station Khalra, District Tarn Taran, and all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise dated 17.09.2025 (Annexure P-2) arrived at between the parties.
2. The above stated FIR was registered on the statement of the complainant/respondent No.2.
3. On notice of motion, respondent No.2 appeared through his counsel and pleaded that he has no objection if the FIR in this case is quashed on the basis of the aforesaid compromise, which has been effected between the parties.



CRM-M-56379-2025 (O&M)

(2)

4. During the course of preliminary hearing, the trial Court/Illaq Magistrate was directed to record the statements of all the concerned parties with regard to genuineness and validity or otherwise of the aforesaid compromise.

5. In compliance thereof, report from the Court of learned Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Patti, along with statements of the parties has been received, in which, it is mentioned that the compromise is genuine and there was no undue influence or coercion from any side.

6. Learned State counsel submitted that Sections 148 and 149 of IPC were deleted, however, Sections 420, 201 and 34 of IPC were added later on.

7. Learned counsel for the petitioners made an oral request that these sections be added in his petition and the sections which are deleted, be deleted.

8. This Court has heard learned counsel for the parties.

9. Request of learned counsel for the petitioners allowed and the added or deleted sections does not affect the merits of the case.

10. However, learned counsel for the petitioners and learned counsel for respondent No.2 are *ad idem* that in view of the settlement effected between the parties, the present petition deserves to be accepted. It has also come on record that the aforesaid compromise is genuine and the parties effected the same without any undue influence or coercion.

11. In view of above, nothing remains to be adjudicated further in the present case. Thus, continuation of the criminal proceedings between the



CRM-M-56379-2025 (O&M) (3)

parties would be a futile exercise and sheer wastage of time of the Court and thus, amounts to abuse of process of law.

12. For the reasons afore-stated and having regard to the law laid down by Hon'ble Apex Court in **Gian Singh v. State of Punjab and another, 2012 (4) RCR (Criminal) 543** and Five Judges Bench of this Court in **Kulwinder Singh and others v. State of Punjab and another, 2007(3) RCR (Criminal) 1052**, this petition is allowed and FIR No.117 dated 19.11.2022 registered under Sections 323, 336, 452, 341, 506, 148, 149 of IPC and Sections 25, 27 of Arms Act, at Police Station Khalra, District Tarn Taran, and all the subsequent proceedings are hereby quashed qua the petitioners, subject to payment of Rs.10,000/- (Rs.5000/- each) as cost, to be deposited with Indian Red Cross Society having account No.3578152035, Central Bank of India, Sector 17-B, Chandigarh, IFSC CBIN0280412.

13. Receipt regarding deposit of aforesaid cost be produced before Court concerned. It is made clear that if cost is not deposited within one month i.e. upto 27.02.2026, present petition shall be deemed to be dismissed.

27.01.2026
Geeta

(SUBHAS MEHLA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking /reasoned	Yes / No
Whether Reportable	Yes / No