



CRM-M-54210-2025

1

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

229

CRM-M-54210-2025

Decided on :17.02.2026

Sunitpal Singh alias Sunny Bal

... Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Punjab

... Respondent(s)

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH**

Present: Mr. S.P. Singh, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Jasdeep Singh Addl. A.G., Punjab.

\*\*\*\*

**SANJAY VASHISTH, J. (Oral)**

1. Present petition has been filed under Section 483 of BNSS, seeking regular bail in case FIR No. 42 dated 31.05.2025, under Sections 21-C, 29 of NDPS Act, registered at Police Station Majitha Road, District Amritsar.

2. As per the case of the prosecution, 504 grams of heroin was recovered from accused Davinder Singh @ Dev, and another quantity of 504 grams of heroin was recovered from co-accused Prabhjot Singh on 31.05.2025. Upon their arrest, they disclosed the name of the petitioner, and on the basis of such disclosure, petitioner was implicated as the supplier of the recovered contraband, i.e., Heroin.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner is in custody since 02.06.2025 and has been falsely implicated in the present case. Referring to paragraph No. 4 of the petition, it is contended that the petitioner has been nominated solely on the basis of the disclosure statements of the co-accused. It is further submitted that the

**CRM-M-54210-2025****2**

petitioner was arrayed as an accused only because he is a witness in FIR No. 191 dated 25.10.2023, registered under Section 302 IPC at Police Station Cantonment, against a close relative of co-accused Prabhjot Singh, and that the present implication is a result of ulterior motives.

4. On the other hand, learned State counsel, while opposing the present petition, submits that petitioner has been specifically named in the disclosure statements of the co-accused as the supplier of the recovered contraband. It is contended that a commercial quantity of Heroin has been recovered in the present case, thereby attracting the rigours of the statutory provisions. Learned State counsel further argues that the allegations are serious in nature and that the petitioner's involvement has surfaced during investigation. Therefore, prays for dismissal of present petition.

5. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the paper-book as well as the documents appended thereto.

6. Without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, which shall be examined during trial, this Court noticed that petitioner is in custody since 02.06.2025 and has been implicated primarily on the basis of the disclosure statements of the co-accused. No recovery has been effected from the petitioner. The trial is likely to take time to conclude.

Considering the period of custody already undergone, the nature of evidence collected so far, and without commenting upon the merits of the case, this Court is of the view that further incarceration of

**CRM-M-54210-2025****3**

the petitioner would serve no useful purpose. Accordingly, the present petition is allowed.

Petitioners are ordered to be released on bail, subject to his furnishing bail/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the learned trial Court/ Chief Judicial Magistrate/Illaq Magistrate/ Duty Magistrate concerned, if not required in any other case.

7. Any of the discussion done and recorded hereabove, shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the facts of the case. Therefore, trial Court is expected to decide the case by taking an independent view, on the basis of evidence available on record, as expeditiously as possible in accordance with law.

8. It is further made clear that if, in future, the petitioner is found to be directly involved in similar activities, the prosecution would be at liberty to seek cancellation of bail.

9. Petition stands disposed of.

**(SANJAY VASHISTH)**  
**JUDGE**

**17.02.2026*****Rashmi***

*Whether speaking/reasoned:*      *Yes/No*  
*Whether Reportable:*              *Yes/No*